

ON THE ALGEBRAICITY OF THE ZERO LOCUS OF AN ADMISSIBLE NORMAL FUNCTION

PATRICK BROSNAN AND GREGORY PEARLSTEIN

ABSTRACT. We show that the zero locus of an admissible normal function on a smooth complex algebraic variety is algebraic.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let $\bar{S}$ be a complex manifold and $\mathcal{H}$ be an integral variation of pure Hodge structure of weight $w < 0$ which is defined on a Zariski open subset S of $\bar{S}$. M. Saito [Sai96] defines an *admissible normal function on S with respect to $\bar{S}$* to be an extension class

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{V} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}(0) \rightarrow 0 \quad (1.1)$$

in the category $\text{VMHS}(S)_{\bar{S}}^{\text{ad}}$ of variations of mixed Hodge structure on S which are admissible relative to $\bar{S}$ (see *loc. cit.*). Via Carlson's formula [Car87], an admissible normal function corresponds to a holomorphic section $\nu : S \rightarrow J(\mathcal{H})$ of the associated family of generalized intermediate Jacobians $J(\mathcal{H}) \rightarrow S$ which satisfies a version of Griffiths horizontality and has controlled asymptotic behaviour near the boundary of S in $\bar{S}$. The purpose of this paper is to prove the following.

Theorem 1.2. *With the above conventions, let $\nu : S \rightarrow J(\mathcal{H})$ be an admissible normal function with respect to $\bar{S}$. Let $\mathcal{Z} = \mathcal{Z}(\nu) = \{s \in S : \nu(s) = 0\}$ denote the zero locus of ν . Then the topological closure of $\mathcal{Z}$ in $\bar{S}$ is the underlying set of a closed analytic complex subspace of $\bar{S}$.*

In this paper we use the notation $\text{NF}(S, \mathcal{H})_{\bar{S}}^{\text{ad}}$ to denote the group of normal functions $\nu : S \rightarrow J(\mathcal{H})$ which are admissible with respect to $\bar{S}$ as above. If S has an algebraic structure, then, by Nagata and Hironaka, there exists some smooth algebraic compactification $\bar{S}$. However, for S algebraic the notion of admissibility is independent of the choice of smooth compactification $\bar{S}$ of S [Sai96, Remark 1.6 (i)]. Therefore we follow [Sai96] and write $\text{NF}(S, \mathcal{H})^{\text{ad}}$ instead of $\text{NF}(S, \mathcal{H})_{\bar{S}}^{\text{ad}}$ for the group of admissible normal functions on S . The following corollary is then immediate from GAGA.

Corollary 1.3. *If S is algebraic then the zero locus of an admissible normal function $\nu : S \rightarrow J(\mathcal{H})$ is an algebraic subvariety of S .*

For $w = -1$ the assertion of Corollary (1.3) was a conjecture of Phillip Griffiths and Mark Green. At least in the case that $w = -1$, Theorem (1.2) has also been proved by Christian Schnell [Sch09]. In Schnell's work it is a consequence of the existence of a "Néron model" extending the family $J(\mathcal{H}) \rightarrow S$ over $\bar{S}$. The full

Date: May 30, 2011.

Key words and phrases. Hodge Conjecture, Normal Functions.

theorem is used in our joint work [BPS] with Christian Schnell where we prove the generalization of the theorem of Cattani, Deligne and Kaplan to admissible variations of mixed Hodge structure. The paper [KNU10] by K. Kato, C. Nakayama and S. Usui indicates a proof of the theorem using the log classifying spaces developed by those authors.

To prove Theorem 1.2, it suffices (Theorem 3.1) to consider the case that $D = \bar{S} \setminus S$ is a normal crossing divisor. Working locally on S , we are then reduced to proving the following theorem.

Theorem 1.4. *In the context of Theorem 1.2, suppose $D := \bar{S} \setminus S$ is a normal crossing divisor and that $p \in D$ is an accumulation point of $\mathcal{Z}$. Then there exists an open polydisk $P \subset \bar{S}$ containing p and an analytic subvariety A of P such that $A \cap S = \mathcal{Z} \cap P$.*

Notation:

- Δ^r is a polydisk with holomorphic coordinates $s = (s_1, \dots, s_r)$;
- $\Delta^{*r} \subset \Delta^r$ is the set of points where $s_1 \cdots s_r \neq 0$;
- $U^r \subset \mathbb{C}^r$ is the product of upper half-planes;
- Points $z = (z_1, \dots, z_r) \in \mathbb{C}^r$ are written $z = x + iy$ where $x = (x_1, \dots, x_r)$, and $y = (y_1, \dots, y_r) \in \mathbb{R}^r$;
- $\pi : U^r \rightarrow \Delta^{*r}$ is the covering map given by $s_j = e^{2\pi i z_j}$ for $j = 1, \dots, r$.
- The underlying vector space of a filtration or mixed Hodge structure is denoted V . If V is defined over a subring R of $\mathbb{C}$ the associated R -module is denoted V_R ;
- Elements of $\mathrm{GL}(V)$ act linearly on filtrations of V , e.g. $(g.F)^p = g(F^p)$. Elements of $\mathrm{GL}(V)$ act on endomorphisms of V via the adjoint action, i.e. $g.Y = gYg^{-1}$.

Overview. In section 2 we review and extend the theory of variations of real mixed Hodge structure in $\mathrm{VMHS}(\Delta^{*r})_{\Delta^r}^{\mathrm{ad}}$. These have a very concrete local normal form which can be expressed in terms of matrix-valued holomorphic functions. We state several results concerning the asymptotics of these variations section 2, in particular, Theorem 2.29 which is a strong boundedness result. Some of the proofs, in particular the proof of our main boundedness result Theorem 2.29, are deferred to the last section of the paper.

In section 3, we reduce the proof of Theorem 1.4 to the analogous statement on the poly-punctured disk. In section 4 we use the results stated in section 2 to give an explicit system of equations for $\mathcal{Z}$ on a punctured polydisk Δ^{*r} .

The remainder of the paper is devoted to the proofs of the results concerning variations of real mixed Hodge structure stated in section 2.

Acknowledgements. The authors would like to thank Phillip Griffiths who generously shared his ideas on normal functions with the authors during their stay at the Institute for Advanced Study in 2004–2005, and Pierre Deligne for sharing his theory of the sl_2 -splitting. We would also like to thank Christian Schnell for helpful discussions and reviewing our proof of the two variable version of Theorem (1.2) in June 2008 and for several other helpful comments. (In particular, for telling us about Hironaka’s paper [Hir64].) We would like to thank Claire Voisin for correcting us on a point related to Lemma 3.2. Finally, we thank Kazuya Kato, Chikara Nakayama and Sampei Usui for their encouragement and helpful discussions about the several variable SL_2 -orbit theorem.

2. ADMISSIBLE VARIATIONS ON THE PUNCTURED POLYDISK

Here we collect results about the structure over variations of mixed Hodge structure on the punctured polydisk Δ^{*r} which are admissible relative to the polydisk Δ^r . In this section, all variations of mixed Hodge structure will be variations with real coefficients and the emphasis will be on asymptotics.

Local normal form. Let $\mathcal{V}$ be an admissible variation of graded-polarized mixed Hodge structure over a punctured polydisk Δ^{*r} with unipotent monodromy $T_j = e^{N_j}$ about $s_j = 0$, with weight filtration W . Let V be any fiber of $\mathcal{V}$ and define $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}$ to be the Lie subalgebra of $\mathfrak{gl}(V_{\mathbb{C}})$ consisting of all elements which preserve W and act by infinitesimal isometries on $\mathrm{Gr}^W V_{\mathbb{C}}$. Then, the limit mixed Hodge structure (F_{∞}, M) of $\mathcal{V}$ defines a distinguished vector space decomposition

$$\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}} = \mathfrak{q} \oplus \mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}^{F_{\infty}}, \quad \mathfrak{q} = \bigoplus_{r < 0, s} \mathfrak{g}_{(F_{\infty}, M)}^{r, s}. \quad (2.1)$$

where $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}^{F_{\infty}}$ is the stabilizer of the limit Hodge filtration. Relative to this decomposition, we can then write (cf. (6.11) [Pea00]) the period map

$$F : U^r \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$$

of the pullback $\mathcal{V}$ to the universal cover $\pi : U^r \rightarrow \Delta^{*r}$ as

$$F(z_1, \dots, z_r) = e^{\sum_j z_j N_j} e^{\Gamma(s_1, \dots, s_r)} \cdot F_{\infty} \quad (2.2)$$

where $\Gamma(s)$ is a $\mathfrak{q}$ -valued holomorphic function which vanishes at the origin. This is called the *local normal form of the variation* $\mathcal{V}$.

Nilpotent orbits. If $\mathcal{V} \rightarrow \Delta^{*r}$ is an admissible variation of mixed Hodge structure over the punctured polydisk Δ^{*r} with local normal form (2.2) then the associated map

$$\theta(z_1, \dots, z_r) = e^{\sum_j z_j N_j} \cdot F_{\infty}$$

from $\mathbb{C}^r$ into the “compact dual” of $\mathcal{M}$ is called the nilpotent orbit of $\mathcal{V}$. (See [Pea00] for the notion of compact dual.)

In general, given a classifying space $\mathcal{M}$ with “compact dual” $\check{\mathcal{M}}$, a nilpotent orbit with values in $\mathcal{M}$ is a holomorphic, horizontal map

$$F_{\mathrm{nilp}}(z_1, \dots, z_r) = e^{\sum_j z_j N_j} \cdot F : \mathbb{C}^r \rightarrow \check{\mathcal{M}} \quad (2.3)$$

such that

- (a) $N_1, \dots, N_r$ are nilpotent, mutually commuting elements of the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{R}} := \mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}} \cap \mathfrak{gl}(V_{\mathbb{R}})$;
- (b) There exists a constant $K > 0$ such that

$$F_{\mathrm{nilp}}(z_1, \dots, z_r) \in \mathcal{M}$$

for all $z \in \mathbb{C}^r$ with $\mathrm{Im}(z_j) > K$.

In particular, F_{nilp} defines a variation of mixed Hodge structure $\mathcal{V}_{\mathrm{nilp}}$ on the set of points in Δ^{*r} where $|s_j| < e^{-2\pi K}$. Accordingly, we say that F_{nilp} is admissible if $\mathcal{V}_{\mathrm{nilp}}$ is admissible.

Via the formula (2.3), a real nilpotent orbit is completely determined by the data $(N_1, \dots, N_r; F, W)$ consisting of nilpotent operators $N_1, \dots, N_r$ on a real vector space V together with a decreasing filtration F of $V_{\mathbb{C}}$ and a decreasing filtration W of V .

Remark 2.4. *For the remainder of this paper, we will suppress the data of the graded-polarization when discussing nilpotent orbits.*

Given an admissible nilpotent orbit $(N_1, \dots, N_r; F, W)$ be an admissible nilpotent orbit define

$$N(z) = \sum_j z_j N_j.$$

In [Kas86] Kashiwara proved the following results concerning relative weight filtrations associated to admissible nilpotent orbits. (We refer to *loc. cit.* for the notion of a relative weight filtration.)

Theorem 2.5. *Suppose $(N_1, \dots, N_r; F, W)$ is an admissible nilpotent orbit. Then*

- (a) *The relative weight filtration $M(N(v), W)$ exists for every vector $v \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}^r$;*
- (b) *For each subset $I \subset \{1, \dots, r\}$, let $C(I)$ denote the monodromy cone $\sum_{i \in I} \mathbb{R}_{> 0} N_i$ in $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{R}}$. Then the filtration $M(C(I), W) = M(N, W)$ is constant for $N \in C(I)$;*
- (c) *Let $I = \{1, \dots, r\}$ and $M = M(C(I), W)$. Then, (F, M) is a mixed Hodge structure with respect to which each N_i is a $(-1, -1)$ -morphism. More generally, if I is a subset of $\{1, \dots, r\}$ with complement I' then*

$$\theta_I = (\exp(\sum_{j \in I'} z_j N_j), F, M(C(I), W)) \quad (2.6)$$

is an admissible nilpotent orbit, and each $N_i \in I$ is a $(-1, -1)$ morphism of the mixed Hodge structure on the right hand side of (2.6);

- (d) *If I and J are subsets of $\{1, \dots, r\}$ then*

$$M(C(I), M(C(J), W)) = M(C(I \cup J), W)$$

Deligne Gradings. Given an increasing filtration W of a finite dimensional vector space V over a field of characteristic zero, a grading of V is a semisimple endomorphism Y of V such that W_k is the direct sum of W_{k-1} and the k -eigenspace $E_k(Y)$ for each index k . By a theorem of Deligne [Del71], a mixed Hodge structure (F, W) induces a unique, functorial decomposition

$$V_{\mathbb{C}} = \bigoplus_{r,s} I^{r,s} \quad (2.7)$$

of the underlying complex vector space $V_{\mathbb{C}}$ such that

- (a) $F^p = \bigoplus_{r \geq p} I^{r,s}$;
- (b) $W_k = \bigoplus_{r+s \leq k} I^{r,s}$;
- (c) $\bar{I}^{p,q} = I^{q,p} \mod \bigoplus_{r < q, s < p} I^{r,s}$.

In particular, a mixed Hodge structure (F, W) induces a grading $Y_{(F,W)}$ of $V_{\mathbb{C}}$ by the requirement that $Y_{(F,W)}$ acts as multiplication by $p + q$ on $I^{p,q}$.

Deligne Systems. Let $(N_1, \dots, N_r; F, W)$ define an admissible nilpotent orbit and let $W^0, \dots, W^r$ be the sequence of increasing filtrations defined by the requirement that $W^0 = W$ and $W^j = M(N_j, W^{j-1})$. Then, by a theorem of Deligne [Del93, Sch01, BP06], the data $(N_1, \dots, N_r, Y_{(F,W^r)})$ defines a sequence of mutually commuting gradings (in the notation of equation (3.3) of [BP06])

$$Y^r = Y_{(F,W^r)}, \quad Y^{r-1} = Y(N_r, Y^r), \quad \dots \quad (2.8)$$

such that Y^k grades W^k . Furthermore, if (F, W^r) is split over $\mathbb{R}$ this construction gives the corresponding gradings of the SL_2 -orbit theorem [CKS86, KNU08]. More precisely, let $(\hat{F}, W^r)$ denote the sl_2 -splitting of (F, W^r) , and $\{\hat{Y}^j\}$ be the corresponding system of gradings. Let $\hat{H}_j = \hat{Y}^j - \hat{Y}^{j-1}$ and $\hat{N}_j$ denote the component of N_j with eigenvalue zero with respect to $\mathrm{ad} \hat{Y}^{j-1}$ for $j = 1, \dots, r$. Then, each pair $(\hat{N}_j, \hat{H}_j)$ is an sl_2 -pair which commutes with $(\hat{N}_k, \hat{H}_k)$.

Our main interest in Deligne systems will be in the grading

$$Y^0 = Y(N_1, Y(N_2, \dots, Y_{(F, W^r)})) \quad (2.9)$$

obtained by applying the construction in (2.8) recursively.

Note that the proof of Deligne's theorem is pure linear algebra. In particular, it applies to situations that do not necessarily arise from Hodge theory. In the terminology of [Sch01, Definition 2], a finite dimensional vector space V equipped with a finite increasing filtration W^0 and r commuting nilpotent operators $N_1, \dots, N_r$ and an operator Y^r preserving W^0 is called a *Deligne system* if

- (i) $W^{j+1} = M(N_{j+1}, W_j)$ exists for $j \geq 0$;
- (ii) $W^{j+1}|_{W_\ell^i} = M(N_{j+1}, W^j|_{W_\ell^i})$, for each j and ℓ and each $i < j$;
- (iii) $N_i \in W_{-2}^j \mathrm{End}(V)$ for $i \leq j$ and $N_i \in W_0^j \mathrm{End}(V)$ for $i \geq j$;
- (iv) Y^r splits W^r and preserves each W^i . Moreover $[Y^r, N_i] = -2N_i$ for all i .

Deligne's theorem [Sch01, Theorem 2], shows that the data $(N_1, \dots, N_r; W^0)$ of a Deligne system gives rise to a system of splittings Y^k of the W^k as above.

In particular, if we start with an admissible orbit $(N_1, \dots, N_r, F, W)$ and choose vectors $v_1, \dots, v_d \in \mathbb{R}^r$ such that the $N(v_i)$ all lie in the closure monodromy cone $C(\{1, \dots, r\})$ and $\sum_{i=1}^r v_i \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}^r$, then the data $(N(v_1), \dots, N(v_d); F, W)$ again defines an admissible nilpotent orbit. Thus we obtain a system of gradings as above and, in particular, a grading $Y^0 = Y(N(v_1), Y(N(v_d), \dots, Y_{(F, M)}))$ of W .

Remark 2.10. *If (F, W) is a mixed Hodge structure then the data $(N = 0, F, W)$ determines an admissible nilpotent orbit for which the associated grading (2.9) is just $Y_{(F, W)}$.*

Splittings. Let (F, W) be an $\mathbb{R}$ -mixed Hodge structure with underlying vector space V , and $\mathfrak{gl}(V) = \bigoplus_{a,b} \mathfrak{gl}(V)^{a,b}$ be the bigrading (2.7) for the mixed Hodge structure induced by (F, W) on the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{gl}(V)$. Define

$$\Lambda_{(F, W)}^{-1, -1} = \bigoplus_{a, b < 0} \mathfrak{gl}(V)^{a, b} \quad (2.11)$$

Then, on account of the defining properties (a)–(c) of the bigrading (2.7) it follows that

$$I_{(g.F, W)}^{p, q} = g \cdot I_{(F, W)}^{p, q}$$

for all $g \in \exp(\Lambda_{(F, W)}^{-1, -1})$.

Theorem 2.12 (Deligne, Prop. (2.20) [CKS86]). *: Given an $\mathbb{R}$ -mixed Hodge structure (F, W) with underlying vector space V , there exists a unique, functorial element*

$$\delta \in \mathfrak{gl}(V_{\mathbb{R}}) \cap \Lambda_{(F, W)}^{-1, -1}$$

such that $(e^{-i\delta}.F, W)$ is split over $\mathbb{R}$. Every morphism of (F, W) commutes with δ ; thus the morphisms of (F, W) are exactly the morphisms of $(e^{-i\delta}.F, W)$ which commute with this element.

The proof of Lemma (6.60) in [CKS86] contains the implicit construction of another functorial splitting operation (cf. equation (3.30) in [CKS86])

$$(F, W) \mapsto (e^{-\xi}.F, W) \quad (2.13)$$

on category of $\mathbb{R}$ -mixed Hodge structures which is optimal for the study of nilpotent orbits. More precisely, if for any mixed Hodge structure (F, W) we define

$$\hat{Y}_{(F, W)} = Y_{(e^{-\xi}.F, W)} \quad (2.14)$$

then one of the major components of the SL_2 -orbit theorem of [KNU08] can be stated as follows:

Theorem 2.15. [KNU08] *Let $(N_1, \dots, N_r; F, W)$ generate an admissible nilpotent orbit and $y(m) \in \mathbb{R}^r$ be a sequence of positive real numbers such that the ratios $y_{j+1}(m)/y_j(m)$ tend to 0 for $j = 1, \dots, r$ upon formally setting $y_{r+1}(m) = 1$. Then,*

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \hat{Y}_{(e^{iN(y(m))}.F, W)} = Y(N_1, Y(N_2, \dots, \hat{Y}_{(F, W^r)})). \quad (2.16)$$

In this paper, we call the splitting operation (2.13) the sl_2 -splitting. In [KNU08], (2.13) is called the *canonical splitting* operation and ξ is denoted as $\epsilon(F, W)$. The proof of Lemma (6.60) in [CKS86] gives a recursive formula for ξ in terms of the Hodge components of Deligne δ -splitting for (F, W) . In [BP11], we prove the following result due essentially to Deligne.

Theorem 2.17. [Del93] *The sl_2 -splitting is the unique, functorial splitting of $\mathbb{R}$ -MHS which is given by universal Lie polynomials in the Hodge components of Deligne's δ -splitting such that if $(e^{zN}.F, W)$ is a admissible nilpotent orbit with limit mixed Hodge structure (F, M) which is split over $\mathbb{R}$ then the Deligne grading of the splitting of $(e^{iN}.F, W)$ is a morphism of type $(0, 0)$ for (F, M) .*

Remark 2.18. *It follows from [CKS86, Lemma 3.12] that $(e^{iN}.F, W)$ is a mixed Hodge structure whenever $(e^{zN}.F, W)$ is an admissible nilpotent orbit with limit split over $\mathbb{R}$, because, in that case, the W graded quotients are SL_2 orbits.*

The notion of Deligne system does not appear in [KNU08]. To extract (2.16) from [KNU08], we need the following lemma from an unpublished letter of Deligne to Cattani and Kaplan. (The reader can find a proof in [BP11].)

Lemma 2.19. [Del93, BP06, BP11] *Let $(N; F, W)$ be an admissible nilpotent orbit (in one variable) with limit mixed Hodge structure (F, M) split over $\mathbb{R}$. Then*

$$\hat{Y}_{(e^{iN}.F, W)} = Y(N, Y_{(F, M)}) \quad (2.20)$$

In particular (cf. [Del93][KP03][BP09]), it follows from equation (2.20) and Theorem (2.17) that $Y(N, Y_{(F, M)})$ is a morphism of type $(0, 0)$ for (F, M) . We will use the following extension of this result.

Lemma 2.21. *Let $(N_1, \dots, N_r; F, W)$ define an admissible nilpotent orbit. Then,*

$$Y = Y(N_1, Y(N_2, \dots, Y(N_r, Y_{(F, W^r)})))$$

preserves the Deligne IP^q 's of (F, W^r) . Furthermore, if $(\hat{F}, W^r) = (e^{-\xi}.F, W^r)$ is the sl_2 -splitting of (F, W^r) then

$$Y(N_1, Y(N_2, \dots, Y(N_r, Y_{(\hat{F}, M)}))) = e^{-\xi}.Y(N_1, Y(N_2, \dots, Y(N_r, Y_{(F, M)})))$$

Proof. See Lemma 5.6 □

Remark 2.22. *Implicit the second part of Lemma (2.21) is the statement that ξ preserves each weight filtration W^j . This is explained in the proof of Lemma 5.6.*

For future reference, we now record the following:

Lemma 2.23. *Let α be a morphism of type $(-1, -1)$ for the mixed Hodge structure (F, W) . Then, $(\widehat{e^{i\alpha} \cdot F}, W) = (\hat{F}, W)$ for both the Deligne and sl_2 -splitting operation.*

Limiting Gradings. The (standard) vertical strip in U^r is the set of points

$$I = \{ z = x + iy \mid x_j \in [0, 1], \ y_j \in [1, \infty) \ \forall j \} \quad (2.24)$$

For a point $z = x + iy \in U^r$ we define $t_j = y_{j+1}/y_j$, where we formally set $y_{r+1} = 1$. Let S_r denote the group of permutations of $\{1, \dots, r\}$ and $\sigma \in S_r$ act on $\mathbb{C}^r$ by permuting coordinates. Then,

$$I = \cup_{\sigma \in S_r} \sigma(I') \quad (2.25)$$

where $I' = \{ z \in I \mid t_j \in (0, 1] \ \forall j \}$.

Definition 2.26. A sequence of points $z(m) = x(m) + iy(m)$ in I' is said to be *tame* if $\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} x_j(m)$ and $\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} t_j(m)$ exist for each index j . A tame sequence is said to be *sl_2 -sequence* if there exists

- (a) A linear transformation $T : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^r$;
- (b) A sequence $v(m) \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}^d$;
- (c) A convergent sequence $b(m) \in \mathbb{R}^r$;

such that

$$y(m) = T(v(m)) + b(m)$$

and $\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} v_j(m)/v_{j+1}(m) = 0$ for $j = 1, \dots, d$ (with $v_{d+1}(m) = 1$ as usual). An sl_2 -sequence is said to be *strict* if $d = r$, $b(m) = 0$ and T is the identity.

In particular, since (2.25) is a finite union, we have:

Lemma 2.27. *Let $z(m) = x(m) + iy(m) \in I$ be a sequence of points. Then, there exists an element $\sigma \in S_r$ and a subsequence $z(m')$ of $z(m)$ such that $\sigma(z(m'))$ is an sl_2 -sequence.*

Given an sl_2 -sequence with associated linear transformation T as above, let $\{e_1, \dots, e_d\}$ denote the standard basis of $\mathbb{R}^d$ and define $\theta^i = T(e_i)$. Then, while neither d nor the transformation $T : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^r$ is uniquely determined by the sl_2 -sequence $y(m)$, the associated flag defined by the increasing sequence of subspaces

$$\Theta^j = \sum_{i \leq j} \mathbb{R} \theta^i \quad (2.28)$$

depends only on the sequence $y(m)$.

. We are now ready to state our main theorem concerning the asymptotic behaviour of variations of mixed Hodge structure.

Theorem 2.29. *Let $\mathcal{V}$ be an admissible variation of mixed Hodge structure on a poly-punctured disk Δ^{*r} with unipotent monodromy and associated local normal form (2.2). Let $z(m) = x(m) + iy(m)$ be an sl_2 -sequence with corresponding flag (2.28). Then,*

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} e^{-N(x(m))} \cdot \hat{Y}_{(F(z(m)), W)} = Y(N(\theta^1), Y(N(\theta^2), \dots, Y_{(\hat{F}_\infty, W^r)})). \quad (2.30)$$

Remark 2.31. The statement of equation (2.30) implicitly assumes that $y_j \rightarrow \infty$ for each j . In the case where only $y_1, \dots, y_\ell$ diverge the limit Hodge filtration F_∞ should be replaced by

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} e^{\sum_{j \leq \ell} -z_j(m)N_j} \cdot F(z(m))$$

and weight filtration W^r should be replaced by W^ℓ . In the extreme case where $z(m)$ is bounded, $\ell = 0$ in the previous equation and Theorem (2.29) is just the continuity of the $\mathfrak{sl}_2$ -splitting.

The proof of Theorem (2.29) will take up most of this paper. However, we would like to bring to the reader's attention the obvious fact that, as the left side of the equation in the theorem depends only on the choice of flag (2.28) the right-hand-side must also depend only on the choice of this flag. Indeed it is an elementary exercise using the properties of Deligne systems to show that the right-hand-side of (2.30) depends only on the flag Θ .

Remark 2.32. Theorem (2.29) has also been obtained independently by Kato, Nakayama and Usui [KNU] in their study of classifying spaces of degenerations of mixed Hodge structure. In particular, as part of their study of log intermediate Jacobians [KNU08], they obtain an independent proof of Conjecture (1.2).

Finiteness. One immediate corollary of Theorem (2.29) is the boundedness of the function $z \mapsto \hat{Y}_{(F(z), W)}$.

Corollary 2.33. Let $F(z)$ be the period map of an admissible variation of mixed Hodge structure over Δ^{*r} . Let I denote the standard vertical strip (2.24) for U^r . Then the function $z \mapsto \hat{Y}_{(F(z), W)}$ is bounded on I .

Proof. Otherwise, we can find a sequence of points $z(m) \in I$ on which $\hat{Y}_{(F(z(m)), W)}$ is unbounded. Passing to an $\mathfrak{sl}_2$ -subsequence, we then obtain a contradiction to Theorem (2.29). $\square$

This boundedness gives rise to finiteness which will be important for integral variations.

Corollary 2.34. Let $\mathcal{V}$ be an admissible variation of integral mixed Hodge structure over Δ^{*r} with unipotent monodromy. Then, with the notation as in Theorem (2.33), the set $\mathcal{Y}$ of integral gradings in the image of the map $z \mapsto Y_{(F(z), W)}$ as z runs over the vertical strip I is finite.

Proof. If $Y_{(F(z), W)}$ is an integral grading, then (clearly) it is a real grading. It follows that $\hat{Y}_{(F(z), W)} = Y_{(F(z), W)}$. (To see this note that, in the terminology of [KNU08, §1.2], $\delta(F, W) = 0$ and this implies that $\epsilon(F, W) = 0$.) Therefore, the set of integral gradings of the form $Y_{(F(z), W)}$ as z ranges over I is bounded and discrete. Thus it is finite. $\square$

3. REDUCTIONS

Our next job is to reduce Theorem 1.2 to the case that $\bar{S}$ is a polydisk and S is a punctured polydisk. We begin with some review about germs.

3.1. Let X be a topological space. Write $\mathcal{S}$ for the presheaf (in fact, a sheaf) associating to every open $U \subset X$ the set of all subsets of U . (If $V \subset U$, the map $\mathcal{S}(U) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}(V)$ is given by $Z \mapsto Z \cap V$). The set of subset germs at a point $x \in X$ is the stalk $\mathcal{S}_x$. If $Z \subset X$ then the germ of Z at x is the image of Z in $\mathcal{S}_x$.

3.2. If X is a complex analytic space we write $\mathcal{A}$ for the presheaf (also a sheaf) associating to every open subset U of X the set of all complex analytic subspaces Z of U . The set of germs of complex analytic subspaces at a point $x \in X$ is the stalk $\mathcal{A}_x$. We write $\mathcal{A}^r$ for the subsheaf of reduced subspaces. There is an obvious inclusion $\mathcal{A}^r \rightarrow \mathcal{S}$. We say that a germ $Z \in \mathcal{S}_x$ is analytic if it is in the image of this inclusion.

3.3. Let X be a complex analytic space, let $x \in X$ and let $Z \in \mathcal{S}_x$ be a subset germ. We say that $f \in \mathcal{O}_{X,x}$ vanishes on Z if there is an open neighbourhood U of x such that f is regular on U and vanishes on a subset Z_U of U whose germ is Z . We write $\mathcal{I}_{Z,x}$ for the set of all $f \in \mathcal{O}_{X,x}$ which vanish on Z . Clearly, $\mathcal{I}_{Z,x}$ is an ideal in $\mathcal{O}_{X,x}$. By the Noetherian property of $\mathcal{O}_{X,x}$ it is, therefore, finitely generated. We define the *Zariski closure* $\text{ClZar}_x Z$ of Z at x to be the analytic subspace germ corresponding to $\mathcal{I}_{Z,x}$. We say that Z is *Zariski dense* in X if every point of X is in $\text{ClZar}_x Z$. (If X is reduced this means that $\text{ClZar}_x Z = X$.)

3.4. Let $\bar{S}$ be a complex analytic space with Zariski dense regular locus $\bar{S}_{\text{reg}}$ (for example, any reduced complex analytic space). Let $S \subset \bar{S}_{\text{reg}}$ be a Zariski open subset. A variation of mixed Hodge structure $\mathcal{V}$ on S is *admissible relative to $\bar{S}$* if, for any resolution of singularities $\pi : \bar{T} \rightarrow \bar{S}$ with $T := \pi^{-1}S$ biholomorphic to S , $\mathcal{V}_T$ is admissible relative to $\bar{T}$. Note that if the above property holds for one resolution of singularities $\bar{T} \rightarrow \bar{S}$ it holds for all. This defines a category $\text{VMHS}(S)_{\bar{S}}^{\text{ad}}$ which is, in fact, equivalent to the category $\text{VMHS}(T)_{\bar{T}}^{\text{ad}}$ for any resolution $\bar{T} \rightarrow \bar{S}$. If $\mathcal{H}$ is a variation of Hodge structure of negative weight on S , we define $\text{NF}(S, \mathcal{H})_{\bar{S}}^{\text{ad}} = \text{Ext}_{\text{VMHS}(S)_{\bar{S}}^{\text{ad}}}^1(\mathbb{Z}, \mathcal{H}) = \text{NF}(T, \mathcal{H}_T)_{\bar{T}}^{\text{ad}}$ where $\pi : \bar{T} \rightarrow \bar{S}$ is any resolution with $\pi : \pi^{-1}(S) \rightarrow S$ an isomorphism.

Theorem 3.1. *Let r be a non-negative integer, then the following are equivalent.*

- (a) *Let $S = \Delta^{*r}$, $\bar{S} = \Delta^r$; let $\mathcal{H}$ be a polarized variation of pure Hodge structure of negative weight, with $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{Z}}$ -torsion-free and with unipotent monodromy on S ; and let $\nu \in \text{NF}(S, \mathcal{H})_{\bar{S}}^{\text{ad}}$. Let $\bar{\mathcal{Z}}(\nu)$ denote the closure of the zero locus $\mathcal{Z}(\nu)$ in the analytic topology of $\bar{S}$. Assume that the germ of $\mathcal{Z}(\nu)$ at 0 is Zariski dense at 0. Then, the germ of $\bar{\mathcal{Z}}(\nu)$ at 0 coincides with the germ of $\bar{S}$ at 0.*
- (b) *Let $S, \bar{S}$ and $\mathcal{H}$ be as in (a), but drop the assumption that the germ of $\mathcal{Z}(\nu)$ at 0 is Zariski dense. Then the germ of $\bar{\mathcal{Z}}(\nu)$ at 0 is analytic.*
- (c) *The same statement as in (a) holds without the assumption that $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{Z}}$ is torsion-free.*
- (d) *The same statement as in (b) holds without the assumption that $\mathcal{H}$ has unipotent monodromy.*
- (e) *Let a and b be non-negative integers with $a + b = r$, let $S = \Delta^{*a} \times \Delta^b$ and $\bar{S} = \Delta^{a+b}$. Let $\mathcal{H}$ be a variation of pure Hodge structure of negative weight on S and let $\nu \in \text{NF}(S, \mathcal{H})_{\bar{S}}^{\text{ad}}$. Then the germ of $\bar{\mathcal{Z}}(\nu)$ at 0 is analytic.*
- (f) *Theorem 1.2 holds in the case that S has dimension r and $\bar{S} \setminus S$ is a normal crossing divisor.*
- (g) *Let $\bar{S}$ be a complex analytic space of dimension r and let S be a Zariski open subset of $\bar{S}_{\text{reg}}$. Let $\mathcal{H}$ be a variation of Hodge structure of negative weight on S and let $\nu \in \text{NF}(S, \mathcal{H})_{\bar{S}}^{\text{ad}}$. Then the topological closure $\bar{\mathcal{Z}}(\nu)$ is the underlying space of a closed complex subspace of $\bar{S}$.*

Proof. We prove the entire theorem by induction on r . The equivalence is obvious for $r = 0$ (since all of the individual statements hold unconditionally).

(a) $\Rightarrow$ (b): Let Z denote the Zariski closure of $\mathcal{Z}(\nu)$ at 0. Shrinking the polydisk $\bar{S}$ if necessary, we can assume that Z is analytic subspace of $\bar{S}$ and that Z contains $\bar{\mathcal{Z}}(\nu)$. We can also assume that $\dim Z < r$. Let ν_Z denote the restriction of ν to the regular locus of Z . By induction (g) applies to show that $\bar{\mathcal{Z}}(\nu_Z)$ is a closed complex analytic subspace of Z . Since $\mathcal{Z}(\nu_Z) = \mathcal{Z}(\nu) \cap Z_{\text{reg}}$ is Zariski dense in Z , this implies that $\bar{\mathcal{Z}}(\nu) = Z$.

(b) $\Rightarrow$ (c): Let $\mathcal{H}_{\text{tors}}$ denote the torsion part of $\mathcal{H}$ and $\mathcal{H}_{\text{free}} := \mathcal{H}/\mathcal{H}_{\text{tors}}$ denote the torsion-free part with $\pi : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_{\text{free}}$ the projection map. Then, for $\nu \in \text{NF}(S, \mathcal{H})_S^{\text{ad}}$ we have $\mathcal{Z}(\nu) = \mathcal{Z}(\pi(\nu))$ (because $\text{Ext}_{\text{MHS}}^1(\mathbb{Z}, H) = 0$ for H a torsion mixed Hodge structure).

(c) $\Rightarrow$ (d): By Borel's theorem, the monodromy of $\mathcal{H}$ is quasi-unipotent. Therefore we can find a positive integer d such that the pull-back of $\mathcal{H}$ to Δ^{*r} via the map $f : S \rightarrow S$ given by $(z_1, \dots, z_r) \mapsto (z_1^d, \dots, z_r^d)$ has unipotent monodromy. By assumption, the germ of $\bar{\mathcal{Z}}(f^*(\nu))$ at 0 is analytic. Since f is proper, the proper mapping theorem implies that the germ of $\bar{\mathcal{Z}}(\nu)$ at 0 coincides with the germ of $f(\bar{\mathcal{Z}}(\nu))$ at 0 and is analytic.

(d) $\Rightarrow$ (e): We induct on $r := a + b$ starting with $a = b = 0$ where the statement is obvious. For $i \in \{1, \dots, r\}$ set $S_i := \{z \in S : z_i = 0\}$, and set $S_0 = \Delta^{*r}$. For $i \in \{0, \dots, r\}$ let ν_i denote the restriction of ν to S_i . Then $\mathcal{Z}(\nu) = \cup_{i=0}^r \mathcal{Z}(\nu_i)$, so $\bar{\mathcal{Z}}(\nu) = \cup_{i=0}^r \bar{\mathcal{Z}}(\nu_i)$. By hypothesis, the germ of $\bar{\mathcal{Z}}(\nu_0)$ at 0 is analytic and, by induction, for each $i > 0$ the germ of $\bar{\mathcal{Z}}(\nu_i)$ at 0 is analytic. It follows that the germ of $\bar{\mathcal{Z}}(\nu)$ at 0 is analytic.

(e) $\Rightarrow$ (f): To prove that $\bar{\mathcal{Z}}(\nu)$ is analytic, it suffices to prove that its germ is analytic at each point $s \in \bar{S}$. Since $\bar{S} \setminus S$ is a normal crossing divisor this follows from (e) and from the obvious fact that the germ of $\bar{\mathcal{Z}}(\nu)$ is analytic at every point $s \in S$.

(f) $\Rightarrow$ (g): Set $C := \bar{S} \setminus S$. By Hironaka [Hir64], we can find a proper morphism $\pi : \bar{T} \rightarrow S$ where $\bar{T}$ is smooth, $D = \pi^{-1}(C)$ is a normal crossing divisor and $\pi : \bar{T} \setminus D \rightarrow S$ is an isomorphism. Then, setting $T := \bar{T} \setminus D$, π induces an isomorphism $\pi^* \text{NF}(S, \mathcal{H})_S^{\text{ad}} \cong \text{NF}(T, \mathcal{H})_T^{\text{ad}}$ [Sai96, Remark 1.6 (i)]. Let $\nu \in \text{NF}(S, \mathcal{H})_S^{\text{ad}}$ be a normal function, and let $\mathcal{Z}_T = \{s \in \bar{T} : \pi^*(\nu) = 0\}$. Suppose $\bar{\mathcal{Z}}_T \subset \bar{T}$ is complex analytic. Since π is proper, the proper mapping theorem shows that $\pi(\bar{\mathcal{Z}}_T)$ is a analytic and $\bar{\mathcal{Z}} = \pi(\bar{\mathcal{Z}}_T)$.

(g) $\Rightarrow$ (a): obvious. $\square$

Lemma 3.2. *Let H be a pure Hodge structure of weight $w < 0$ and with $H_{\mathbb{Z}}$ -torsion free. Let $\nu \in \text{Ext}_{\text{MHS}}^1(\mathbb{Z}, H)$ be represented by the short exact sequence*

$$0 \rightarrow H \rightarrow V \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow 0 \quad (3.3)$$

with $V = (V_{\mathbb{Z}}, F, W)$. Then $\nu = 0 \Leftrightarrow Y_{(F, W)} \in w \text{End}(V_{\mathbb{Z}})$.

Proof. $\Rightarrow$: If $\nu = 0$, we have $V = \mathbb{Z} \oplus H$. So, every $v \in V_{\mathbb{Z}}$ can be written as $v = r + h$ with $r \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $h \in H$. Clearly, $Y_{(F, W)}(v) = wh \in wV_{\mathbb{Z}}$.

$\Leftarrow$: Suppose $Y_{(F, W)} \in w \text{End}(V_{\mathbb{Z}})$. Then the map $\frac{1}{w} Y_{(F, W)}$ is a morphism of mixed Hodge structure from V to H inducing a retraction of the sequence (3.3). $\square$

Corollary 3.4. *Let $S, \bar{S}, \mathcal{H}$ and ν be as in Theorem 3.1 (b), and let ν be given by an extension*

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{V} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow 0$$

of mixed Hodge structures on $S = (\Delta^*)^n$. Let $(F(z), W)$ be the local normal form of $\mathcal{V}$ on U^n with $F(z) = e^{N(z)}e^{\Gamma(s)}.F_\infty$. Then

$$\mathcal{Z}(\nu) = \{s \in S : s = e^{2\pi iz}, Y_{(F(z), W)} \in w \operatorname{End} V_{\mathbb{Z}}\}.$$

4. ANALYTICITY OF THE ZERO LOCUS

We now prove Theorem (1.2) assuming Theorem (2.29) and the results on the Deligne systems and the $\mathfrak{sl}_2$ -splittings stated in section 2. In fact, we will deduce the theorem as a corollary of a more general result concerning admissible variations on punctured polydisks.

4.1. Set $S = \Delta^{*r}$, $\bar{S} = \Delta^r$ and $\pi : U^r \rightarrow S$ be as in the discussion preceding (2.2). Let $\mathcal{V} \in \operatorname{VMHS}(S)_{\bar{S}}^{\operatorname{ad}}$ with V and the local normal form of $\mathcal{V}$

$$F(z) = e^{N(z)}e^{\Gamma(s)}.F_\infty$$

as in (2.2). To fix the notation, we remind the reader that $N(z) = \sum z_i N_i$ with $N_i \in \operatorname{End} V_{\mathbb{Q}}$ and that $V_{\mathbb{Q}}$ comes equipped with the weight filtration W . By definition, the limit mixed Hodge structure is (F_∞, M) where $M = W^r = M(N_1 + \dots + N_r, W)$.

For $z \in U^r$, set $Y(z) = Y_{(F(z), W)}$. Let I denote the vertical strip 2.24. Then, for each integral $Y \in \operatorname{End} V$, set $B(Y) := \{z \in I : Y(z) = Y\}$ and $C(Y) = \pi(B(Y))$.

Theorem 4.1. *Suppose $C(Y_{\mathbb{Z}})$ is dense Zariski dense at the origin in $\bar{S}$ for some $Y_{\mathbb{Z}} \in \operatorname{End} V$. Then $C(Y_{\mathbb{Z}}) = S$. Moreover, $[N_i, Y_{\mathbb{Z}}] = 0$ for all i .*

Proof. By assumption, 0 is a limit point of $C(Y_{\mathbb{Z}})$ in the usual topology. Therefore, we can find (possibly after permuting the coordinates) an $\mathfrak{sl}_2$ -sequence $z(m) \in I$ such that $Y(z(m)) = Y_{\mathbb{Z}}$ for all m (and $z_i(m)$ is unbounded for each i).

Write $z(m) = x(m) + iy(m)$ with x, y real and set $\mu = \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} x(m)$. Write ξ for the $\mathfrak{sl}_2$ -splitting of (F_∞, M) . (In the notation of [KNU08], $\xi = \epsilon(F_\infty, M)$.) Then, by Theorem 2.29 and Lemma 2.21,

$$\begin{aligned} Y_{\mathbb{Z}} &= e^{N(\mu)}.Y(N(\theta^1), Y(N(\theta^2), \dots, Y_{(\hat{F}_\infty, M)})) \\ &= e^{N(\mu)}e^{-\xi}.Y(N(\theta^1), Y(N(\theta^2), \dots, Y_{(F_\infty, M)})). \end{aligned}$$

To simplify the notation, we write $Y_\infty = Y(N(\theta^1), Y(N(\theta^2), \dots, Y_{(F_\infty, M)}))$ and $\tilde{\xi} = \xi - N(\mu)$. Then, since ξ commutes with the N_i we have

$$Y_{\mathbb{Z}} = e^{-\tilde{\xi}}.Y_\infty.$$

Now, for any operator A on V , write $A^{p,q}$ for the component of A in $\mathfrak{gl}^{p,q}(V)_{(F_\infty, M)}$. By Lemma 2.21, $Y_\infty = Y_\infty^{0,0}$. In other words, Y_∞ preserves the $I_{(F_\infty, M)}^{p,q}$. Likewise, $\operatorname{ad} Y_\infty$ preserves the subalgebra $\mathfrak{q}$.

Lemma 4.2. *Suppose $z \in B(Y_{\mathbb{Z}})$. Then*

$$e^{\Gamma(s)}.Y_\infty = e^{-N(z)}.Y_{\mathbb{Z}}. \tag{4.3}$$

Proof of Lemma 4.2. Recall that by equation (2.1) we have $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}} = \mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}^{F_{\infty}} \oplus \mathfrak{q}$ where $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}^{F_{\infty}}$ stabilizes the limit Hodge filtration. Therefore, since $Y(z)$ and $e^{N(z)}e^{\Gamma(s)}.Y_{\infty}$ both preserve $F(z)$ it follows that $Y(z) - e^{N(z)}e^{\Gamma(s)}.Y_{\infty}$ preserves $F(z)$ and hence

$$f(z) = e^{-\Gamma(s)}e^{-N(z)}.Y(z) - Y_{\infty}$$

takes values in $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}^{F_{\infty}}$. On the other hand, since $z \in B(Y_{\mathbb{Z}})$ and $Y_{\mathbb{Z}} = e^{-\tilde{\xi}}.Y_{\infty}$ we also have

$$f(z) = e^{-\Gamma(s)}e^{-N(z)}e^{-\tilde{\xi}}.Y_{\infty} - Y_{\infty}$$

In particular, since $\Gamma(s)$, $N(z)$ and $\tilde{\xi}$ are elements of $\mathfrak{q}$ and $\text{ad } Y_{\infty}$ preserves $\mathfrak{q}$ it follows that $f(z)$ takes values in

$$\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}^{F_{\infty}} \cap \mathfrak{q} = 0$$

□

Lemma 4.4. *The two linear map $L_{\infty} : \mathbb{C}^r \rightarrow \text{End } V_{\mathbb{C}}$ given by $z \mapsto [N(z), Y_{\infty}]$ and $L_{\mathbb{Z}} : \mathbb{C}^r \rightarrow \text{End } V_{\mathbb{C}}$ given by $z \mapsto [N(z), Y_{\mathbb{Z}}]$ have the same kernels.*

Proof of Lemma 4.4. This follows directly from the fact that $\tilde{\xi}$ commutes with $N(z)$. □

Since $Y_{\mathbb{Z}}$ is integral, we can find a subset $\Omega \subset \{1, \dots, r\}$ such that the $[N_j, Y_{\mathbb{Z}}]$ form a basis of $L_{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathbf{Q}^r)$ as j runs through Ω . Thus there exists rational numbers β_{ij} ($i \in \{1, \dots, r\}, j \in \Omega$) such that

$$[N_i, Y_{\mathbb{Z}}] = \sum_{j \in \Omega} \beta_{ij} [N_j, Y_{\mathbb{Z}}].$$

Clearly $\beta_{jj} = 1$ for $j \in \Omega$. Likewise, applying $\text{Ad}(e^{\tilde{\xi}})$ to the previous equation, it follows that

$$[N_i, Y_{\infty}] = \sum_{j \in \Omega} \beta_{ij} [N_j, Y_{\infty}].$$

So, since $\tilde{\xi}$ commutes with $N_1, \dots, N_r$, setting $L_i = [N_i, Y_{\infty}]$, we have

$$L_{\infty}(z) = \sum_{j \in \Omega} \sum_{i \geq j} \beta_{ij} z_i L_j.$$

Multiplying equation (4.3) by $e^{\tilde{\xi}}$ and using the fact that $\tilde{\xi}$ commutes with $N(z)$, we see that

$$z \in B(Y) \Rightarrow e^{\tilde{\xi}}e^{\Gamma(s)}.Y_{\infty} = e^{-N(z)}.Y_{\infty}. \quad (4.5)$$

Again, since $Y \text{inf} = Y \text{inf}^{0,0}$ with respect to (F_{∞}, M) while and each N_i is a morphism of type $(-1, -1)$ it follows that

$$(e^{N(z)}.Y_{\infty})^{-1, -1} = [N(z), Y_{\infty}].$$

Set $\gamma(s) = (e^{\tilde{\xi}}e^{\Gamma(s)}.Y_{\infty})^{-1, -1}$. This is a holomorphic function on $\bar{S}$ which, by (4.5), must be equal to

$$(e^{-N(z)}.Y_{\infty})^{-1, -1} = L_{\infty}(-z) = - \sum_{j \in \Omega} \sum_{i=1}^r \beta_{ij} z_i L_j$$

for $z \in B(Y_{\mathbb{Z}})$. Since $C(Y_{\mathbb{Z}})$ is Zariski dense at the origin and $\gamma(s)$ lies in $L_{\infty}(\mathbb{C}^r)$ for $s \in C(Y_{\mathbb{Z}})$, $\gamma(s)$ must lie in $L_{\infty}(\mathbb{C}^r)$ for all $s \in \bar{S}$. Since the $L_j, j \in \Omega$ form a basis of $L_{\infty}(\mathbb{C}^r)$, we can write $\gamma(s) = \sum_{j \in \Omega} \gamma_j(s) L_j$ for $s \in \bar{S}$.

Therefore

$$z \in B(Y_{\mathbb{Z}}) \Rightarrow \gamma_j(s) = \sum_{i=1}^r \beta_{ij} z_i, \forall j \in \Omega.$$

We can find a positive integer b such that $b\beta_{ij} \in \mathbb{Z}$ for all i, j . So we have

$$z \in B(Y_{\mathbb{Z}}) \Rightarrow b\gamma_j(s) = \sum_{i=1}^r b\beta_{ij} z_i, \forall j \in \Omega.$$

Exponentiating both sides we find that $z \in B(Y_{\mathbb{Z}}) \Rightarrow$

$$\exp(2\pi i b \gamma_j(s)) = \prod_{i=1}^r s_i^{b\beta_{ij}}, \forall j \in \Omega. \quad (4.6)$$

By our assumption that $C(Y_{\mathbb{Z}})$ is Zariski dense at 0, (4.6) must hold identically on $\bar{S}$. Since the left-hand-side of the equation is non-vanishing and holomorphic on a neighbourhood of the origin, this forces $\beta_{ij} = 0$ for all i, j . Thus, since $\beta_{jj} = 1$ for $j \in \Omega$, we have $\Omega = \emptyset$ and $[N_i, Y_{\infty}] = 0$ for all i . Since $N(z)$ commutes with Y_{∞} and with $\tilde{\xi}$, it commutes with $Y_{\mathbb{Z}}$. Thus we have

$$z \in B(Y_{\mathbb{Z}}) \Leftrightarrow e^{\Gamma(s)}.Y_{\infty} = Y_{\mathbb{Z}}.$$

As the above equation is a holomorphic equation for $C(Y_{\mathbb{Z}})$, it must hold identically. Thus we have $C(Y_{\mathbb{Z}}) = S$. Moreover, since $\Gamma(0) = 0$, we have $Y_{\infty} = Y_{\mathbb{Z}}$.

This completes the proof of Theorem 4.1. $\square$

Corollary 4.7. *Suppose $\nu \in \text{NF}(S, \mathcal{H})_{\bar{S}}^{\text{ad}}$ and suppose that $Z(\nu)$ is Zariski dense at the origin in S with $\mathcal{H}$ and S as in Theorem 3.1. Then $Z(\nu) = S$.*

Proof. We write

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{V} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow 0$$

for the extension corresponding to ν . Since, by Corollary 2.34, the density of $Z(\nu)$ at the origin implies that there is a $Y_{\mathbb{Z}}$ such that $C(Y_{\mathbb{Z}})$ is also Zariski dense. Then use Theorem 4.1. $\square$

5. DELIGNE SYSTEMS I

In the remainder of this paper we will work exclusively with admissible variations of $\mathbb{R}$ -mixed Hodge structure.

. We now reduce the proof of Lemma 2.21 to a corollary of the following sequence of lemmata:

Lemma 5.1. [Del93] *If $(N; F, W)$ defines an admissible nilpotent orbit with limit mixed Hodge structure split over $\mathbb{R}$ then, in the notation of (2.8), the SL_2 splitting of the mixed Hodge structure $(e^{iN}.F, W)$ is $(e^{i\hat{N}}.F, W)$.*

Proof. We have $Y_{(e^{iN}.F, W)} = Y(N, Y_{(F, M)})$ by the second line in the proof of Theorem 2 of the appendix to [KP03]. (In [KP03], the notation N_0 is used to denote the 0-eigencomponent of N under the operator $Y(N, Y_{(F, M)})$, which is denoted by $\hat{N}$ in this paper.) It follows from Lemma 2.19 that $Y_{(e^{iN}.F, W)} = \hat{Y}_{(e^{iN}.F, M)}$. Therefore the SL_2 -splitting of $(e^{iN}.F, W)$ is equal to $(e^{i\hat{N}}.F, W)$. $\square$

Suppose now that $(N_1, \dots, N_r; \hat{F}_r, W)$ defines an admissible nilpotent orbit with limit mixed Hodge structure split over $\mathbb{R}$. Following the notation of (2.8), let $W^0, \dots, W^r$ be the associated system of weight filtrations. Recall that by [CKS86] and [Kas86] that

$$(z_1, \dots, z_{r-1}) \mapsto (e^{\sum_{j < r} z_j N_j} e^{i N_r} \cdot \hat{F}_r, W^0)$$

is an admissible nilpotent orbit, and hence $(e^{i N_r} \cdot \hat{F}_r, W^{r-1})$ is a mixed Hodge structure. Accordingly,

$$(z_1, \dots, z_{r-1}) \mapsto (e^{\sum_{k \leq r-1} z_k N_k} \cdot \hat{F}_{r-1}, W^0)$$

is an admissible nilpotent orbit with limit mixed Hodge structure split over $\mathbb{R}$, where $(\hat{F}_{r-1}, W^{r-1}) = (e^{i \hat{N}_r} \cdot \hat{F}_r, W^{r-1})$ is the $\mathfrak{sl}_2$ -splitting of $(e^{i N_r} \cdot \hat{F}_r, W^{r-1})$. Iterating this construction, we obtain a sequence of mixed Hodge structures

$$(\hat{F}_{j-1}, W^{j-1}) = (e^{i \hat{N}_j} \cdot \hat{F}_j, W^{j-1})$$

and associated nilpotent orbits $(z_1, \dots, z_j) \mapsto e^{\sum_{k \leq j} z_k N_k} \cdot \hat{F}_j$.

In particular, given the data $(N_1, \dots, N_r; \hat{F}_r, W)$ of an admissible nilpotent orbit with limit mixed Hodge structure split over $\mathbb{R}$, the sequence of gradings $\hat{Y}^j$ constructed in (2.8) is given by $\hat{Y}^j = Y_{(\hat{F}_j, W^j)}$. Since $N_1, \dots, N_j$ are $(-1, -1)$ -morphisms of $(\hat{F}_j, W^j)$, it follows that

$$[N_k, \hat{H}_j] = 0 \tag{5.2}$$

for $j > k$ where as in (2.8), $\hat{H}_j = \hat{Y}^j - \hat{Y}^{j-1}$.

Lemma 5.3. *Let $(N_1, \dots, N_r; \hat{F}_r, W)$ define an admissible nilpotent orbit with limiting mixed Hodge structure $(\hat{F}, M)$ split over $\mathbb{R}$. Then,*

$$\hat{Y}^0 = Y(N_1, Y(N_2, \dots, Y(N_r, Y_{(\hat{F}, M)})))$$

preserves $\hat{F}$.

Proof. To begin, we recall that $(\hat{N}_1, \hat{H}_1), \dots, (\hat{N}_r, \hat{H}_r)$ form a commuting system of $\mathfrak{sl}_2$ -representations [Del93, Sch01]. Consequently,

$$[\hat{Y}^j, \hat{N}_k] = 0 \tag{5.4}$$

for $j < k$. Indeed, this is true by definition for $j = k - 1$. Suppose that $j \leq k - 2$. Then,

$$\begin{aligned} [\hat{Y}^j, \hat{N}_k] &= -[(\hat{Y}^{j+1} - Y^j) + \dots + (\hat{Y}^{k-1} - Y^{k-2}), \hat{N}_k] \\ &= -[\hat{H}^{j+1} + \dots + \hat{H}^{k-1}, \hat{N}_k] = 0 \end{aligned}$$

By the prior paragraphs, $\theta(z) = (e^{z N_1} \cdot \hat{F}_1, W)$ is an admissible nilpotent orbit with limit mixed Hodge structure split over $\mathbb{R}$, and hence by Lemma (2.19),

$$\hat{Y}^0(\hat{F}_1^p) \subseteq \hat{F}_1^p$$

Using the identity $F_1 = e^{\sum_{j > 1} i \hat{N}_j} \cdot \hat{F}$ and the fact that $\hat{Y}^0$ commutes with all $\hat{N}_j$, it then follows from the previous equation that $\hat{Y}^0$ preserves $\hat{F}$. $\square$

To pass from admissible nilpotent orbits with limit mixed Hodge structure split over $\mathbb{R}$ to the general case, we now use the following sequence of lemmata.

Lemma 5.5. *Let $(N_1, \dots, N_r; F, W)$ generate an admissible nilpotent orbit with $\mathfrak{sl}_2$ -splitting $(\hat{F}, W^r) = (e^{-\xi} \cdot \hat{F}, W^r)$. Then, ξ preserves each associated weight filtration W^j .*

Proof. The SL_2 splitting is functorial and each W^j is a filtration of the limit mixed Hodge structure $(\hat{F}, W^r)$ by subobjects. It follows easily that ξ preserves each W^j . $\square$

We now prove result stated in Lemma 2.21.

Lemma 5.6. *Let $(N_1, \dots, N_r; F, W)$ define an admissible nilpotent orbit with $\mathfrak{sl}_2$ -splitting $(\hat{F}, W^r) = (e^{-\xi} \cdot F, W^r)$. Then,*

$$Y(N_1, Y(N_2, \dots, Y(N_r, Y_{(\hat{F}, W^r)}))) = e^{-\xi} \cdot Y(N_1, Y(N_2, \dots, Y(N_r, Y_{(F, W^r)})))$$

Proof. The operator ξ commutes with $N_1, \dots, N_r$ since ξ is a universal Lie polynomial in the Hodge components of Deligne's δ -splitting $(e^{-i\delta} \cdot F, W^r)$ of (F, W^r) and δ commutes with all $(-1, -1)$ -morphisms of (F, W^r) , and hence in particular with $N_1, \dots, N_r$. Furthermore, since

$$Y_{(e^{-\xi} \cdot F, W^r)} = e^{-\xi} \cdot Y_{(F, W^r)}$$

and ξ preserves W^{r-1} and commutes with N_r , we have (by the properties of Deligne's construction [KP03])

$$Y(N_r, Y_{(\hat{F}, W^r)}) = e^{-\xi} \cdot Y(N_r, Y_{(F, W^r)})$$

Iterating this process, we obtain,

$$Y(N_1, Y(N_2, \dots, Y(N_r, Y_{(\hat{F}, W^r)}))) = e^{-\xi} \cdot Y(N_1, Y(N_2, \dots, Y(N_r, Y_{(F, W^r)})))$$

$\square$

Corollary 5.7. *Let $(N_1, \dots, N_r; F, W)$ define an admissible nilpotent orbit. Then,*

$$Y = Y(N_1, Y(N_2, \dots, Y(N_r, Y_{(F, W^r)})))$$

preserves the Deligne $I^{p,q}$'s of (F, W^r) .

Proof. Let $\hat{Y}$ denote the analog of Y obtained by replacing (F, W^r) by the $\mathfrak{sl}_2$ -splitting $(\hat{F}, W^r)$. Then, $\hat{Y}$ is real and preserves both $\hat{F}$ and W^r . Therefore, $\hat{Y}$ preserves

$$I_{(\hat{F}, W^r)}^{p,q} = \hat{F}^p \cap \overline{\hat{F}^q} \cap W_{p+q}^r$$

By the previous lemma, it then follows that Y preserves $I_{(F, W^r)}^{p,q}$ since $F = e^\xi \cdot \hat{F}$. $\square$

6. DELIGNE SYSTEMS II

In [KNU08], Kato, Nakayama and Usui attach to any admissible nilpotent orbit with data $(N_1, \dots, N_r; F, W)$ an associated semisimple endomorphism $t(y)$. For later use, we now derive a formula for $t(y)$ in terms of the gradings $\hat{Y}^j$ constructed above. To this end, let us assume for the moment that $(N_1, \dots, N_r; F, W)$ underlies a nilpotent orbit of pure Hodge structure of weight k . Let $(\hat{F}_r, W^r)$ denote the $\mathfrak{sl}_2$ -splitting of (F, W^r) , and recall that W^r in this case is the monodromy weight filtration $W(N)[-k]$ for any element N in the cone of positive linear combinations

of $N_1, \dots, N_r$. In particular, since any such N is a $(-1, -1)$ -morphism of $(\hat{F}_r, W^r)$ it follows that the pair $(N, \hat{Y}_{(r)})$ where

$$\hat{Y}_{(r)} = \hat{Y}^r - k\mathbb{1}$$

defines an $\mathfrak{sl}_2$ -pair. As above, we can iteratively define $\hat{Y}_{(j)} = \hat{Y}^j - k\mathbb{1}$ using the nilpotent orbit $(N_1, \dots, N_j; \hat{F}_j)$. Define,

$$\tilde{t}(y) = \prod_{j=1}^r t_j^{\frac{1}{2}\hat{Y}_{(j)}} = (\prod_{j=1}^r t_j^{-\frac{1}{2}k\mathbb{1}})(\prod_{j=1}^r t_j^{\frac{1}{2}\hat{Y}^j})$$

where $t_j = y_{j+1}/y_j$, and hence $t_1 \dots t_r = y_{r+1}/y_1 = 1/y_1$. Accordingly,

$$\tilde{t}(y) = y_1^{(\frac{1}{2}k)\mathbb{1}} \prod_{j=1}^r t_j^{\frac{1}{2}\hat{Y}^j}.$$

By Theorem (0.5) of [KNU08], the mixed version of $t(y)$ is to be constructed as follows: If $(N_1, \dots, N_r; F, W)$ defines an admissible nilpotent orbit then

$$\hat{Y}_{(e^{\sum i y_j N_j}, F, W)} \rightarrow \hat{Y}^0$$

provided that $t_j \rightarrow 0$ for all j . Let $t_k(y)$ denote the semisimple endomorphism $\tilde{t}(y)$ attached by the previous paragraph to the induced nilpotent orbit of pure Hodge structure of weight k on Gr_k^W . Then, $t(y)$ is constructed by multiplying each $t_k(y)$ by $y_1^{-\frac{1}{2}k}$ and then lifting the resulting semisimple element to the ambient vector space via the grading $\hat{Y}^0$. Accordingly, since the gradings $\hat{Y}^0, \dots, \hat{Y}^r$ are mutually commuting, it follows that

$$t(y) = \prod_{j=1}^r t_j^{\frac{1}{2}\hat{Y}^j} \quad (6.1)$$

Remark 6.2. For a nilpotent orbit of pure Hodge structure, the elements $\hat{Y}_{(j)}$ are infinitesimal isometries of the polarization. Consequently, although $t(y)$ is not an element of $G_{\mathbb{C}}$ since it is the twist of an automorphism of the graded-polarizations by $y_1^{-\frac{1}{2}\hat{Y}^0}$, the action of $\text{Ad}(t^{-1}(y))$ preserves $G_{\mathbb{C}}$.

The following result appears in Proposition (10.4) of [KNU08] with slightly different notation:

Lemma 6.3. Let $(N_1, \dots, N_r; F, W)$ define an admissible nilpotent orbit. Then,

$$\text{Ad}(t^{-1}(y))e^{\sum_j i y_j N_j} = e^P$$

where P is a polynomial in non-negative half integral powers of $t_1, \dots, t_r$ with constant term $iN_1 + i \sum_{j>1} \hat{N}_j$.

Proof. By (6.1),

$$\text{Ad}(t^{-1}(y))y_k N_k = (\prod_{j \leq k-1} t_j^{-\frac{1}{2}\hat{Y}^j})(\prod_{j \geq k} t_j^{-\frac{1}{2}\hat{Y}^j})y_k N_k$$

where N_k is $(-1, -1)$ -morphism of $(\hat{F}_j, W^j)$ for $j = k, \dots, r$, and hence $[N_k, \hat{Y}^j] = -2N_k$. Consequently,

$$(\prod_{j \geq k} t_j^{-\frac{1}{2}\hat{Y}^j})y_k N_k = t_k \dots t_r y_k N_k = N_k$$

On the other hand, N_k preserves W^j for $j < k$. Therefore,

$$(\prod_{j < k} t_j^{-\frac{1}{2}\hat{Y}^j})N_k$$

is a polynomial in non-negative, half-integral powers of t_j for $j < k$. Taking the limit as $t_1, \dots, t_r \rightarrow 0$ it then follows that the constant term of P is $i \sum_k N_k^\#$ where $N_k^\#$ is the projection of N_k to $\cap_{0 < j < k} \ker(\text{ad } \hat{Y}^j)$ with respect to the mutually commuting gradings $\hat{Y}^j$. Accordingly, $N_1^\# = N_1$, whereas for $k > 1$, we can first project onto $\ker(\text{ad } N_{k-1})$ to obtain $\hat{N}_k$. By (5.4), $\hat{N}_k$ commutes with $\hat{Y}^j$ for $j < k$, and hence $N_k^\# = \hat{N}_k$. $\square$

Remark 6.4. *For nilpotent orbits of pure Hodge structure, this statement appears in Lemma (4.5) of [CK89]; note however that in [CK89], t_j is defined to be y_j/y_{j+1} which is reciprocal to our convention.*

7. RELATIVE COMPACTNESS

Let I and I' be subsets of U^r as in (2.24) and (2.25). Let $F : U \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$ be the period map of an admissible variation of mixed Hodge structure over Δ^{*r} with local normal form $F(z) = e^{N(z)} e^{\Gamma(s)} \cdot F_\infty$ as in (2.2). Let $t(y)$ be the associated family of semisimple endomorphisms (6.1). In this section, we will prove the following result, which is due to Cattani and Kaplan in the pure case [CK89, Theorem 4.7].

Lemma 7.1. *The image of the set I' under the map*

$$\tilde{F}(z_1, \dots, z_r) = t^{-1}(y) e^{-\sum_j x_j N_j} \cdot F(z_1, \dots, z_r)$$

is a relatively compact subset of the classifying space $\mathcal{M}$.

Remark 7.2. *In Theorem (4.7) [CK89], Cattani and Kaplan define $t_j = y_j/y_{j+1}$, which is reciprocal to our convention.*

For each index $j = 1, \dots, r$ let

$$\Gamma_j(s) = \Gamma(0, \dots, 0, s_{j+1}, \dots, s_r)$$

Then, for each j we have an associated partial period map

$$F_j(z_1, \dots, z_r) = e^{\sum_j z_j N_j} e^{\Gamma_j(s)} \cdot F_\infty \quad (7.3)$$

which takes values in $\mathcal{M}$ for $\text{Im}(z)$ sufficiently large. Indeed, (7.3) is the nilpotent orbit obtained from $F(z)$ by degenerating $z_1, \dots, z_j$.

Remark 7.4. *As in Proposition (2.6) of [CK89], it follows via equation (6.10) of [Pea00] that*

$$\Gamma_j \in \ker(\text{ad } N_1) \cap \dots \cap \ker(\text{ad } N_j) \quad (7.5)$$

To compactify future notation, we define $F_0(z) = F(z)$ and set

$$\tilde{F}_j(z_1, \dots, z_r) = t^{-1}(y) e^{-\sum_j x_j N_j} \cdot F_j(z_1, \dots, z_r) \quad (7.6)$$

for $j = 0, \dots, r$.

Definition 7.7. Let $z(m) \in U^r$ be an sl_2 -sequence, and suppose that $t_j(m) \rightarrow 0$. Then, we say that $z(m)$ has non-polynomial growth with respect to y_j (or z_j) if there exists a subsequence $z(m')$ of $z(m)$ such that

$$\lim_{m' \rightarrow \infty} \frac{y_{j+1}^d(m')}{y_j(m')} = 0 \quad (7.8)$$

for every $d > 0$. In particular, unless a sequence of points $z(m) \in I'$ is bounded, there exists a smallest index ι such that $z(m)$ has non-polynomial growth with

respect to y_ι (since we formally define $y_{r+1}(m) = 1$). If $z(m) \in I'$ is bounded, we define $\iota = 0$.

To employ the notion of non-polynomial growth in aid of the proof of Theorem (2.29) we recall the following elementary observation about convergent sequences:

Lemma 7.9. *Let Σ be a topological space. Then, a sequence σ_m in Σ converges to σ if and only if for every subsequence σ'_m of σ_m there exists a subsequence σ''_m of σ'_m such that $\sigma''_m \rightarrow \sigma$.*

Accordingly, given an $\mathfrak{sl}_2$ -sequence $z(m)$ in order to show that

$$\hat{Y}_{(F(z(m)), W)} \rightarrow Y_{\lim}$$

it is sufficient to show that, for every subsequence $z'(m)$ of $z(m)$, we can find a subsequence $z''(m)$ such that

$$\hat{Y}_{(F(z''(m)), W)} \rightarrow Y_{\lim}$$

In particular, since each $z'(m)$ is an $\mathfrak{sl}_2$ -sequence, it has a corresponding smallest index ι with respect to which it has non-polynomial growth, and hence we can pass to a subsequence $z''(m)$ of $z'(m)$ for which equation (7.8) holds for y_ι .

As such, it is sufficient to prove Theorem (2.29) for $\mathfrak{sl}_2$ -sequences $z(m)$ satisfying (7.8) since the right hand side of (2.30) only depends on the original sequence $z(m)$ and the associated nilpotent orbit. Moreover, we may pass to a subsequence of $z(m)$ as necessary.

Theorem 7.10. *Let $z(m) = x(m) + iy(m) \in I'$ be an $\mathfrak{sl}_2$ -sequence. Let ι be the smallest index with respect to which $z(m)$ has non-polynomial growth with respect to y_ι . Assume that (7.8) holds on $z(m)$. Then,*

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \hat{Y}_{(F(z(m)), W)} - \hat{Y}_{(F_\iota(z(m)), W)} \rightarrow 0 \quad (7.11)$$

upon passage to a suitable subsequence.

Proof. Assume Lemma (7.1). If $\iota = 0$, then (7.11) is a tautology because $F_\iota = F$.

Assume therefore that $\iota > 0$ and observe that both $F(z)$ and $F_\iota(z)$ arise from period maps with the same nilpotent orbit, and hence the same associated family (6.1) of semisimple endomorphisms $t(y)$. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} e^{-N(x)}.F(z) &= e^{iN(y)}e^{\Gamma(s)}.F_\infty \\ &= e^{iN(y)}e^{\Gamma(s)}e^{-\Gamma_\iota(s)}e^{\Gamma_\iota(s)}.F_\infty \\ &= \text{Ad}(e^{iN(y)})(e^{\Gamma(s)}e^{-\Gamma_\iota(s)}e^{iN(y)}e^{\Gamma_\iota(s)}).F_\infty \\ &= \text{Ad}(e^{iN(y)})(e^{\Gamma(s)}e^{-\Gamma_\iota(s)}e^{-N(x)}).F_\iota(z_1, \dots, z_r) \end{aligned}$$

Consequently,

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{F}(z) &= t^{-1}(y)e^{-N(x)}.F(z) \\ &= t^{-1}(y)\text{Ad}(e^{iN(y)})(e^{\Gamma(s)}e^{-\Gamma_\iota(s)}t(y)t^{-1}(y)e^{-N(x)}).F_\iota(z_1, \dots, z_r) \\ &= \text{Ad}(t^{-1}(y))(\text{Ad}(e^{iN(y)})(e^{\Gamma(s)}e^{-\Gamma_\iota(s)})).\tilde{F}_\iota(z) \\ &= e^{B(z)}.\tilde{F}_\iota(z) \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} e^{B(z)} &= \text{Ad}(t^{-1}(y))(\text{Ad}(e^{iN(y)})(e^{\Gamma(s)}e^{-\Gamma_\iota(s)})) \\ &= \text{Ad}(\text{Ad}(t^{-1}(y))e^{iN(y)})\text{Ad}(t^{-1}(y))(e^{\Gamma(s)}e^{-\Gamma_\iota(s)}) \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma (6.3), we know that

$$\text{Ad}(t^{-1}(y))(e^{\sum_j i y_j N_j}) = e^{P(t)} \quad (7.12)$$

where $P(t)$ is a polynomial in non-negative half-integral powers of $t_1, \dots, t_r$ (with constant term). Accordingly,

$$e^{B(z)} = \text{Ad}(e^{P(t)})\text{Ad}(t^{-1}(y))(e^{\Gamma(s)}e^{-\Gamma_\iota(s)})$$

To analyze the asymptotic behaviour of $e^{B(z)}$, define $\tilde{\Gamma}(s)$ to be the unique nilpotent operator satisfying

$$e^{\tilde{\Gamma}(s)} = e^{\Gamma(s)}e^{-\Gamma_\iota(s)}.$$

Then, since $\tilde{\Gamma}(0) = 0$ if $s_1, \dots, s_\iota = 0$, it follows that there exist $\mathfrak{q}$ -valued holomorphic functions $f_1, \dots, f_\iota$ on Δ^r such that

$$\tilde{\Gamma}(s) = \sum_{j=1}^{\iota} s_j f_j$$

Moreover, the identity $|s_j| = e^{-2\pi y_j}$ coupled with the order structure

$$y_1 \geq y_2 \geq \dots \geq y_r \geq 1 \quad (7.13)$$

on I' implies that $|s_j| \leq |s_\iota|$ for $j = 1, \dots, \iota$. Shrinking Δ^r if necessary, we can then find a constant K such that

$$|\tilde{\Gamma}(s)| < K|s_\iota|$$

Consider now a sequence $z(m) \in I'$ such that ι is the smallest index such that $z(m)$ has non-polynomial growth with respect to $z_\iota(m)$. Then, by construction, the quantities $y_1(m), \dots, y_\iota(m)$ must satisfy some set of mutually polynomial bounds, otherwise we contradict the definition of ι . Therefore, since $t(y)$ acts semisimply by multiplication by monomials in half-integral powers of $t_1, \dots, t_r$ on its eigenspaces, it follows that (after increasing K if necessary)

$$|\text{Ad}(t^{-1}(y))\tilde{\Gamma}(s(m))| < K y_\iota^d(m) |s_\iota(m)| \quad (7.14)$$

for some half-integer d .

Combining the above remarks, it then follows that

$$\|e^{B(z(m))} - 1\| < K y_\iota^d(m) |s_\iota(m)| \quad (7.15)$$

for a suitable constant K .

To continue, observe that the operator norm of $\text{Ad}(e^{\sum_j x_j N_j})$ is bounded on I' since $x \in [0, 1]^r$ is compact. Accordingly, (for any fixed norm)

$$\|\hat{Y}_{(F(z), W)} - \hat{Y}_{(F_\iota(z), W)}\| \leq K' \|\hat{Y}_{(e^{-N(x)}, F(z), W)} - \hat{Y}_{(e^{-N(x)}, F_\iota(z), W)}\|$$

for some suitable constant K' . Accordingly, (7.11) is equivalent to

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \hat{Y}_{(e^{-N(x(m))}, F(z(m)), W)} - \hat{Y}_{(e^{-N(x(m))}, F_\iota(z(m)), W)} \rightarrow 0$$

In particular, since $t(y)$ is a real automorphism which preserves W ,

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{Y}_{(e^{-N(x(m))}.F(z(m)),W)} &= \hat{Y}_{(e^{-N(x(m))}.F_l(z(m)),W)} \\ &= t(y(m)).(\hat{Y}_{(\tilde{F}(z(m)),W)} - \hat{Y}_{(\tilde{F}_l(z(m)),W)}) \\ &= t(y(m)).(\hat{Y}_{(e^{B(z(m))}.F_l(z(m)),W)} - \hat{Y}_{(\tilde{F}_l(z(m)),W)}) \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, by Lemma (7.1), after passage to a subsequence, we can assume that $\tilde{F}_l(z(m))$ converges to some point in $\mathcal{M}$. By the real-analyticity of the map $(F, W) \mapsto \hat{Y}_{(F,W)}$ it then follows that

$$\hat{Y}_{(e^{B(z(m))}.F_l(z(m)),W)} = e^{C(z(m))}. \hat{Y}_{(\tilde{F}_l(z(m)),W)} \quad (7.16)$$

where $e^{C(z(m))} - 1$ satisfies a bound of the same form (7.15) as $e^{B(z(m))} - 1$. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{Y}_{(e^{-N(x(m))}.F(z(m)),W)} - \hat{Y}_{(e^{-N(x(m))}.F_l(z(m)),W)} \\ = t(y(m)).((\text{Ad}(e^{C(z(m))}) - 1)\hat{Y}_{(\tilde{F}_l(z(m)),W)}) \rightarrow 0 \end{aligned}$$

on account of the fact that $t(y)$ acts by half-integral powers of $t_1, \dots, t_r$ and $y_j^\ell(m)(e^{C(z(m))} - 1) \rightarrow 0$ for $j = 1, \dots, r$ and every half-integer ℓ . $\square$

To continue, we now prove Theorem (2.29) in the case where $F(z)$ is a nilpotent orbit:

Lemma 7.17. *Theorem (2.29) is true for admissible nilpotent orbits.*

Proof. Let $z(m) = x(m) + iy(m) \in I'$ be an $\mathfrak{sl}_2$ -sequence. Then,

$$y(m) = T(v(m)) + b(m)$$

as in definition (2.26). Accordingly,

$$e^{-N(x(m))}. \hat{Y}_{(e^{N(z(m))}.F_\infty, W)} = \hat{Y}_{(e^{\sum_j i v_j(m) N(\theta^j)} e^{iN(b(m))}.F_\infty, W)}$$

with $\theta^1, \dots, \theta^d$ as described in (2.28).

Now, for any fixed element $b \in \mathbb{R}^r$, the data $(N(\theta^1), \dots, N(\theta^d), e^{iN(b)}.F_\infty, W)$ defines an admissible nilpotent orbit with limit mixed Hodge structure $(e^{iN(b)}.F_\infty, W)$. By Lemma (2.23),

$$(e^{iN(b)}.F_\infty, W^r) = (\hat{F}_\infty, W^r)$$

and hence by (2.16) it follows that $\hat{Y}_{(e^{\sum_j i v_j(m) N(\theta^j)} e^{iN(b)}.F_\infty, W)}$ converges to the grading

$$\tilde{Y} = Y(N(\theta^1), Y(N(\theta^2), \dots, Y_{(\hat{F}_\infty, W^r)}))$$

independent of b . By Theorem 10.8 of [KNU08] it then follows that for variable b confined to the interior of a compact set that there is a constant c such that if $\tau_j = v_{j+1}/v_j < c$ then

$$\hat{Y}_{(e^{\sum_j i v_j(m) N(\theta^j)} e^{iN(b)}.F_\infty, W)} = \exp(u(\tau; b)). \tilde{Y}$$

where $u(\tau, b)$ is a real-analytic function of $\tau = (\tau_1, \dots, \tau_r)$ and b with $u(0, b) = 0$. Accordingly,

$$\hat{Y}_{(e^{\sum_j i v_j(m) N(\theta^j)} e^{iN(b(m))}.F_\infty, W)} \rightarrow \tilde{Y}$$

$\square$

Corollary 7.18. *Let $z(m)$ be an sl_2 -sequence for which equation (7.8) holds for either $\iota = 0$ or $\iota = r$. Then, equation (2.30) holds along a subsequence of $z(m)$.*

Proof. For $\iota = 0$ the sequence is bounded, and the statement follows from the continuity of the sl_2 -splitting. For $\iota = r$ we first use Theorem (7.10) to reduce the computation of the limit (2.30) to the corresponding nilpotent orbit and then use the previous Lemma. $\square$

Corollary 7.19. *Theorem (2.29) is true for variations over Δ^* .*

Proof. This follows from the previous Corollary since over Δ^* any sl_2 -sequence $z(m)$ must have either $\iota = 0$ or $\iota = 1$. $\square$

It remains to verify Lemma (7.1) for variations of mixed Hodge structure. For this, we will modify Corollary (12.8) of [KNU08] which asserts that if $z(m)$ is a strict sl_2 -sequence then the limit

$$F_b = \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} t^{-1}(y(m)).F(z(m)) = \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} t^{-1}(y(m)).F_r(z(m)) \quad (7.20)$$

exists, and belongs to the classifying space $\mathcal{M}$. We begin with the following result:

. A sequence of points $s(m) \in \Delta^{*r}$ is a strict sl_2 -sequence if $s(m) = \pi(z(m))$ for some strict sl_2 -sequence $z(m) \in U^r$ where $\pi : U^r \rightarrow \Delta^{*r}$ is the covering map defined by $s_j = e^{2\pi i z_j}$ for $j = 1, \dots, r$.

Lemma 7.21. *If $f : \Delta^r \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be a holomorphic function which vanishes along every strict sl_2 -sequence $s(m) \in \Delta^{*r}$ then $f \equiv 0$.*

Proof. Strict sl_2 -sequences $z(m) = x(m) + iy(m) \in U^r$ are equivalent to pairs of sequences $(x(m), t(m))$ such that $x(m)$ is a convergent sequence in $[0, 1]^r$ and $t(m)$ is a sequence in $(0, 1]^r$ which converges to zero. Indeed, given a strict sl_2 -sequence $z(m) = x(m) + iy(m)$ we define $t(m) = (t_1(m), \dots, t_r(m))$ via the usual rule $t_j(m) = y_{j+1}(m)/y_j(m)$. Conversely, given $(x(m), t(m))$ as above, the sequence $z(m) = x(m) + iy(m)$ obtained by setting $y_j(m) = (t_j(m) \cdots t_r(m))^{-1}$ is a strict sl_2 -sequence. In particular, since the differential of the map

$$t = (t_1, \dots, t_r) \in (0, 1]^r \mapsto (y_1, \dots, y_r) \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}^r$$

defined by $y_j = (t_j \cdots t_r)^{-1}$ is an isomorphism at each point $t \in (0, 1]^r$, it follows that given any point $s_o \in \Delta^{*r}$ on a strict sl_2 -sequence $s(m)$, there exists strict sl_2 -sequences passing through every point on neighbourhood of s_o . In particular, if f vanishes on every strict sl_2 -sequence then $f \equiv 0$. $\square$

To continue, we now prove Lemma (7.1) in the case where $F(z)$ is an admissible nilpotent orbit generated by $(N_1, \dots, N_r; F, W)$.

Proof of Lemma (7.1) for admissible nilpotent orbits. Let $(\hat{F}, W^r) = (e^{-\xi}.F, W^r)$ denote the sl_2 -splitting of (F, W^r) . Let $t(y)$ be the associated family of semisimple endomorphisms. Then,

$$t^{-1}(y)e^{\sum_j iy_j N_j}.F = e^{P(t)} \mathrm{Ad}(t^{-1}(y))(e^\xi).\hat{F} \quad (7.22)$$

because $t^{-1}(y)$ fixes $\hat{F}$.

Given $A \in \mathrm{End}(V)$, let

$$A = \sum_{b \in \mathbb{Z}^r} A^b \quad (7.23)$$

where A^b is the eigenspace of A on which $\text{Ad}(t^{-1}(y))A^b = t_1^{\frac{1}{2}b_1} \dots t_r^{\frac{1}{2}b_r} A^b$. Then, by Lemma (5.5)

$$\xi = \sum_{b \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^r} \xi^b \quad (7.24)$$

i.e. $\xi^b = 0$ unless b is a vector with non-negative coordinates. Accordingly,

$$e^{\xi(t)} = \text{Ad}(t^{-1}(y))\xi$$

is a polynomial in non-negative, half-integral powers of $t_1, \dots, t_r$. Therefore, the image of any sequence $z(m)$ in I' under the map

$$z \mapsto t^{-1}(y)e^{iN(y)}.F = e^{P(t)}e^{\xi(t)}.\hat{F} \quad (7.25)$$

has a convergent subsequence in the compact dual $\check{\mathcal{M}}$. Now, a point in $\check{\mathcal{M}}$ belongs to $\mathcal{M}$ if and only if it induces polarized Hodge structures on Gr^W . By Lemma (7.1) for variations of pure Hodge structure, the image of I' in Gr^W via the map (7.25) is a relatively compact subset of the sum of the corresponding classifying spaces of pure Hodge structure. Therefore, the image of I' under the map (7.25) is a relatively compact subset of $\mathcal{M}$. $\square$

Let $b = (b_1, \dots, b_r) \in \mathbb{Z}^r$. Define a partial order on the group $\mathbb{Z}^r$ by declaring that $b \geq 0$ if $b_j \geq 0$ for all j , and $b < 0$ otherwise. If $b < 0$ then $w(b) = \min\{j \mid b_j < 0\}$.

Lemma 7.26. *Let*

$$\Gamma(s) = \sum_{b \in \mathbb{Z}^r} \Gamma^b(s) \quad (7.27)$$

be the decomposition of Γ with respect to the action of $\text{Ad}(t^{-1}(y))$ as above. If $\Gamma^b \neq 0$ and $b < 0$ then $\Gamma_{w(b)}^b = 0$.

Proof. The proof of Lemma (6.3) shows that

$$\text{Ad}(t^{-1}(y))(e^{N(x)}) = e^{Q(x,t)}$$

where $Q(x, t)$ is a polynomial in half-integral powers of $t_1, \dots, t_r$ with constant term 0 and coefficients which are polynomials in $x_1, \dots, x_r$. By the above conventions (with $P(t)$ and $\xi(t)$ constructed using the nilpotent orbit attached to $F(z)$),

$$t^{-1}(y).F(z) = e^{Q(x,t)}e^{P(t)}\text{Ad}(t^{-1}(y))(e^{\Gamma(s)}e^{\xi(t)}).\hat{F}_\infty$$

Consequently, since $Q(x, t)$, $P(t)$, $\Gamma(s)$ and $\xi(t)$ all take values in $\mathfrak{g}$ (see (2.1)), it follows that equation (7.20) holds along strict sl_2 -sequences if and only if

$$\text{Ad}(t^{-1}(y))(\Gamma^b(s)) \rightarrow 0$$

along strict sl_2 -sequences for each index b . Indeed, $t^{-1}(y).F_r(z) = t^{-1}(y)e^{N(z)}.F_\infty$ is obtained from the previous equation by setting $\Gamma = 0$. Consequently,

$$F_b = e^{P(0)}e^{\xi(0)}.\hat{F}_\infty$$

Suppose now that $b < 0$ and $\Gamma^b(s) \neq 0$. Let $w = w(b)$. Then,

$$t_1^{\frac{1}{2}b_1} \dots t_r^{\frac{1}{2}b_r}(\Gamma^b(s) - \Gamma_w^b(s)) \rightarrow 0 \quad (7.28)$$

along any strict sl_2 -sequence since

$$\Gamma^b(s) - \Gamma_w^b(s) = \sum_{k=1}^w s_k g_k \quad (7.29)$$

where $g_1, \dots, g_w$ are $\mathfrak{q}$ -valued holomorphic functions and $b_1, \dots, b_{w-1} \geq 0$.

Therefore, $\text{Ad}(t^{-1}(y))(\Gamma^b(s)) \rightarrow 0$ along strict sl_2 -sequences if and only if

$$t_1^{\frac{1}{2}b_1} \dots t_r^{\frac{1}{2}b_r} \Gamma_w^b(s) \rightarrow 0$$

along strict sl_2 -sequences. In particular, via a choice of basis, $\Gamma_w^b(s)$ is represented by a matrix of holomorphic functions in the variables $s_{w+1}, \dots, s_r$. Let f denote a typical matrix entry of $\Gamma_w^b(s)$. Then, the previous equation holds if and only if

$$t_1^{\frac{1}{2}b_1} \dots t_r^{\frac{1}{2}b_r} f(s) \rightarrow 0 \quad (7.30)$$

along strict sl_2 -sequences. If $f \neq 0$ then by Lemma (7.21) it follows that there exists a strict sl_2 -sequence $s(m) = \pi(z(m))$ on which f is non-vanishing. Furthermore, since f depends only on $s_{w+1}(m), \dots, s_r(m)$, we have the freedom to select $z_1(m), \dots, z_w(m)$ in such a way that $t_1^{\frac{1}{2}b_1} \dots t_r^{\frac{1}{2}b_r}$ becomes unbounded (since $b_w < 0$). But this contradicts (7.30) since f does not vanish along $s(m)$. $\square$

Corollary 7.31. *For any weight $b = (b_1, \dots, b_r) \in \mathbb{Z}^r$, the function $\text{Ad}(t^{-1}(y))\Gamma^b(s)$ converges along any sl_2 -sequence $z(m) \in I'$.*

Proof. Suppose that $z(m)$ is bounded. Then, $\text{Ad}(t^{-1}(y))\Gamma^b(s)$ converges since both $\text{Ad}(t^{-1}(y))$ and $\Gamma^b(s)$ converge. Likewise, if $b \geq 0$ then $\text{Ad}(t^{-1}(y))\Gamma^b(s)$ converges along every sl_2 -sequence since both $\Gamma^b(s)$ and $t_1^{\frac{1}{2}b_1} \dots t_r^{\frac{1}{2}b_r}$ converge.

Assume therefore that $z(m)$ is unbounded and $b < 0$. Let $w = w(b)$. Then, by the previous Lemma, $\Gamma_w^b(s) = 0$ and hence by equation (7.29)

$$\Gamma^b(s) = \Gamma^b(s) - \Gamma_w^b(s) = \sum_{k=1}^w s_k g_k \quad (7.32)$$

where $g_1, \dots, g_w$ are $\mathfrak{q}$ -valued holomorphic functions. By the order structure (7.13) on I' it follows that $y_\ell \geq y_w$ for $\ell = 1, \dots, w$ and hence

$$s_\ell t_w^{\frac{1}{2}b_w} \dots t_r^{\frac{1}{2}b_r} \rightarrow 0 \quad (7.33)$$

along any sl_2 -sequence since $|s_\ell| = e^{-2\pi y_\ell}$. Combining equations (7.32) and (7.33), we obtain the convergence of $\text{Ad}(t^{-1}(y))\Gamma^b(s)$ along sl_2 -sequences since the remaining factor $t_1^{\frac{1}{2}b_1} \dots t_{w-1}^{\frac{1}{2}b_{w-1}}$ converges since $b_1, \dots, b_{w-1} \geq 0$. $\square$

Corollary 7.34. *Let $z(m)$ be an sl_2 -sequence. Then,*

$$\tilde{F}(z(m)) \rightarrow F_\sharp \in \mathcal{M}$$

Furthermore, the value of $F_\sharp$ depends only the limiting values of the sequences $t_j(m)$.

Proof. By the above remarks,

$$\tilde{F}(z(m)) = e^{P(t)}(\text{Ad}(t^{-1}(y))e^{\Gamma(s)})e^{\xi(t)}.\hat{F}_\infty$$

Moreover, along any sl_2 -sequence, $P(t)$, $\text{Ad}(t^{-1}(y))\Gamma(s)$ and $\xi(t)$ converge to limiting values in $\mathfrak{g}_\mathbb{C}$ which only depend on the limiting values of $t_j(m)$. This forces $\tilde{F}(z(m))$ to converge to a point $F_\sharp$ of the “compact dual” $\tilde{\mathcal{M}}$ of $\mathcal{M}$. In particular, since elements of $\mathfrak{g}_\mathbb{C}$ preserve W , it follows from Lemma (7.1) for variations of pure Hodge structure that $F_\sharp$ is an element of $\mathcal{M}$. $\square$

End of Proof of (7.1). To complete the proof of Lemma (7.1) for variations of mixed Hodge structure, observe that every sequence $z(m) \in I'$ contains an sl_2 -sequence $z'(m)$. Applying the previous corollary to $F(z'(m))$ we see that the image of I' by $\tilde{F}$ is a relatively compact subset of $\mathcal{M}$. $\square$

8. POLARIZED MIXED HODGE STRUCTURES

In this section we prove two technical results about deformations of admissible nilpotent orbit using the theory of polarized mixed Hodge structure outlined in [CK89].

Lemma 8.1. *Let $(N_1, \dots, N_k; F, W)$ generate an admissible nilpotent orbit. Then, there exists a neighbourhood $\mathcal{A}$ of $0 \in \mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}} \cap \ker(\mathrm{ad} N_1) \cap \dots \cap \ker(\mathrm{ad} N_k)$ such that for all $\alpha \in \mathcal{A}$, $(N_1, \dots, N_k; e^\alpha.F, W)$ generates an admissible nilpotent orbit.*

Proof. The map

$$(z_1, \dots, z_k) \mapsto e^{\sum_j z_j N_j} e^\alpha.F$$

is horizontal since $N_j(F^p) \subseteq F^{p-1} \implies N_j(e^\alpha.F^p) \subseteq e^\alpha.F^{p-1}$. Likewise, since $(N_1, \dots, N_k; F, W)$ is admissible, all of the required relative weight filtrations exist. It remains therefore to show that there exists a constant c such that

$$(e^{\sum_j z_j N_j} e^\alpha.F, W)$$

is a graded-polarized mixed Hodge structure for $\mathrm{Im}(z_1), \dots, \mathrm{Im}(z_k) > c$.

Since $N_1, \dots, N_k$ and α preserve W , we can assume without loss of generality that W is pure of weight ℓ . Via a Tate-twist, we can assume that $(e^{\sum_j z_j N_j} e^\alpha.F, W)$ is pure and effective of weight ℓ . By Theorem (2.3) of [CK89], it is therefore sufficient to show that $e^{z^N} e^\alpha.F$ is a nilpotent orbit of pure Hodge structure of weight ℓ , where $N = \sum_j N_j$.

To continue, we note that since α commutes with $N_1, \dots, N_k$ it follows that α preserves the monodromy weight filtration $W(N)$. Since we already know that $e^{z^N}.F$ is a nilpotent orbit of weight ℓ (polarized by some bilinear form Q), it then follows from the theory of polarized mixed Hodge structures (see: [CK89]) that it is sufficient to show that $e^\alpha.F$ induces a pure Hodge structure of weight $j + \ell$ on the primitive part (with respect to N) of $Gr_{j+\ell}^{W(N)[- \ell]}$ which is polarized by $Q_\ell(*, *) = Q(*, N^\ell *)$. But, this is an open condition on $\alpha \in \mathcal{A}$ which is true for $\alpha = 0$ since $e^{z^N}.F$ is a nilpotent orbit. $\square$

Given a nilpotent orbit generated by $(N_1, \dots, N_r; F, W)$ let $t(y)$ be the associated semisimple operator (6.1). Then, the corresponding semisimple operator

$$t_\iota(y) = \prod_{j > \iota} t_j^{\frac{1}{2} \hat{Y}_j}$$

attached to the nilpotent orbit generated by $(N_{\iota+1}, \dots, N_r; F, W^\iota)$ is obtained from $t(y)$ by setting $t_1, \dots, t_\iota = 1$.

Lemma 8.2. *If $k \leq \ell$ and $\alpha \in \ker(\mathrm{ad} N_k)$ then each eigencomponent of α with respect to $\mathrm{ad} \hat{Y}^\ell$ belongs to $\ker(\mathrm{ad} N_k)$.*

Proof. By the Jacobi identity,

$$[N_k, [\hat{Y}^\ell, \alpha]] = [[N_k, \hat{Y}^\ell], \alpha] + [\hat{Y}^\ell, [N_k, \alpha]] = [2N_k, \alpha] = 0$$

since $[N_k, \hat{Y}^\ell] = 2N_k$. Consequently, each eigencomponent of α must also belong to $\ker(\mathrm{ad} N_k)$ since $\mathrm{ad} N_k$ decreases eigenvalues with respect to $\mathrm{ad} \hat{Y}^\ell$ by 2. $\square$

Corollary 8.3. *If α commutes with $N_1, \dots, N_\iota$ then so does $\text{Ad}(t_\iota^{-1}(y))\alpha$.*

Proof. Decompose α with respect to $\hat{Y}^{\iota+1}, \dots, \hat{Y}^r$ and apply the previous lemma. $\square$

Lemma 8.4. *For $k \leq \iota$, $\text{Ad}(t_\iota^{-1}(y))y_k N_k = y_k/y_{\iota+1} N_k$ and hence*

$$\text{Ad}(t_\iota^{-1}(y))e^{i \sum_{k \leq \iota} y_k N_k} = e^{i \sum_{k \leq \iota} (y_k/y_{\iota+1}) N_k}.$$

Proof. By (6.1),

$$t_\iota(y) = \Pi_{j > \iota} t_j^{\frac{1}{2} \hat{Y}^j} = y_{\iota+1}^{-\frac{1}{2} \hat{Y}^{\iota+1}} \Pi_{j > \iota} y_{j+1}^{-\frac{1}{2} \hat{H}^{j+1}} \quad (8.5)$$

Accordingly, since $[\hat{Y}^{\iota+1}, N_k] = -2N_k$ for $k \leq \iota + 1$ whereas $[N_k, \hat{H}_j] = 0$ for $j > k$ by (5.2) it follows by (8.5) that (for $k \leq \iota$)

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Ad}(t_\iota^{-1}(y))y_k N_k &= \text{Ad}(y_{\iota+1}^{\frac{1}{2} \hat{Y}^{\iota+1}}) \Pi_{j > \iota} \text{Ad}(y_{j+1}^{\frac{1}{2} \hat{H}^{j+1}}) y_k N_k \\ &= \text{Ad}(y_{\iota+1}^{\frac{1}{2} \hat{Y}^{\iota+1}}) y_k N_k = y_k/y_{\iota+1} N_k \end{aligned}$$

$\square$

Given a period map $F(z_1, \dots, z_r)$ with local normal form (2.2) let

$$F_\infty(z_{\iota+1}, \dots, z_r) = e^{\sum_{j > \iota} z_j N_j} e^{\Gamma_\iota} F_\infty \quad (8.6)$$

be the limit mixed Hodge structure obtained by degenerating the variables $z_1, \dots, z_\iota$ in $F(z)$. Then, $(F_\infty(z_{\iota+1}, \dots, z_r), W^\iota)$ is an admissible variation of mixed Hodge structure. Let

$$I'_\iota = \{(z_{\iota+1}, \dots, z_r) \in U^{r-\iota} \mid x_{\iota+1}, \dots, x_r \in [0, 1], \quad y_{\iota+1} \geq \dots \geq y_r \geq 1\} \quad (8.7)$$

Then, by Corollary (7.34), for any sl_2 -sequence $z(m) = (z_{\iota+1}(m), \dots, z_r(m)) \in I'_\iota$, the filtration

$$\tilde{F}_\infty(z_{\iota+1}(m), \dots, z_r(m)) = t_\iota^{-1}(y) e^{\sum_{j > \iota} i y_j N_j} e^{\Gamma_\iota(s)} F_\infty \quad (8.8)$$

converges to a filtration $F_\natural$ in the corresponding classifying space $\mathcal{M}_\iota$. Furthermore, the filtration $F_\natural$ depends only on the limiting values of $t_{\iota+1}(m), \dots, t_r(m)$.

Lemma 8.9. *Let $(z_{\iota+1}(m), \dots, z_r(m)) \in I'_\iota$ be an sl_2 -sequence. Let $F_\natural \in \mathcal{M}_\iota$ be the associated limiting filtration constructed above. Then, the data $(N_1, \dots, N_\iota, F_\natural, W)$ generates an admissible nilpotent orbit.*

Proof. Since $(N_1, \dots, N_r, F_\infty, W)$ generates an admissible nilpotent orbit, all of the required relative weight filtrations exist. Accordingly, we can assume that $F(z_1, \dots, z_r)$ is an effective variation of pure Hodge structure of weight ℓ .

Let

$$P_\iota(t) = \text{Ad}(t_\iota^{-1}(y)) \left(\sum_{j > \iota} i y_j N_j \right). \quad (8.10)$$

Then, Lemma (6.3) applied to the nilpotent orbit generated by $(N_{\iota+1}, \dots, N_r, F_\infty, W^\iota)$ asserts that $P_\iota(t)$ is a polynomial in non-negative, half-integral powers of $t_{\iota+1}, \dots, t_r$. Let $(\hat{F}_\infty, W^r) = (e^{-\xi} F_\infty, W^r)$ be the sl_2 -splitting of (F_∞, W^r) . Then, by Lemma (5.5),

$$\xi_\iota(t) = \text{Ad}(t_\iota^{-1}(y)) \xi \quad (8.11)$$

is a polynomial in non-negative, half-integral powers of $t_{\iota+1}, \dots, t_r$. Accordingly,

$$\tilde{F}_\infty(z_{\iota+1}, \dots, z_r) = e^{P_\iota(t)} (\text{Ad}(t_\iota^{-1}(y)) e^{\Gamma_\iota(s)} e^{\xi_\iota(t)} \hat{F}_\infty) \quad (8.12)$$

where $\text{Ad}(t_\ell^{-1}(y))e^{\Gamma_\ell(s)}$ converges along any $\mathfrak{sl}_2$ -sequence [apply Corollary (7.31) to the variation $(F_\infty(z_{\ell+1}, \dots, z_r), W^\ell)$]. Consequently,

$$F_{\mathfrak{h}} = e^{\gamma_{\mathfrak{h}}} \cdot \hat{F}_\infty \quad (8.13)$$

where

$$e^{\gamma_{\mathfrak{h}}} = \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} e^{P_\ell(t)} (\text{Ad}(t_\ell^{-1}(y))e^{\Gamma_\ell(s)})e^{\xi_\ell(t)} \quad (8.14)$$

Moreover (see equation (7.5)), since $N_{\ell+1}, \dots, N_r, \Gamma_\ell$ and ξ all belong to the subalgebra $\mathfrak{q} \cap (\cap_{k=1}^\ell \ker(\text{ad } N_k))$, it follows from Corollary (8.3) that

$$\gamma_{\mathfrak{h}} \in \mathfrak{q} \cap \ker(\text{ad } N_1) \cap \dots \cap \ker(\text{ad } N_\ell) \quad (8.15)$$

In particular, by virtue of equations (8.13) and (8.15) it follows that $N_1, \dots, N_\ell$ are horizontal with respect to $F_{\mathfrak{h}}$.

By Theorem (2.3) of [CK89], to complete the proof, it suffices to show that

$$z \mapsto e^{z(N_1 + \dots + N_\ell)} \cdot F_{\mathfrak{h}}$$

is a nilpotent orbit of pure Hodge structure. To this end, let a be a positive real number and $N_{\dagger} = a(N_1 + \dots + N_\ell)$. Observe that since

$$F_\ell(z) = e^{\sum_{j \leq \ell} z_j N_j} \cdot F_\infty(z_{\ell+1}, \dots, z_r)$$

is an admissible variation of mixed Hodge structure so is

$$F_{\dagger}(z_\ell, \dots, z_r) = e^{z_\ell N_{\dagger}} e^{\sum_{j > \ell} z_j N_j} e^{\Gamma_\ell(s)} \cdot F_\infty$$

(here we can use [Kas86] to derive the existence of the required relative weight filtrations since $N_{\dagger}$ belongs to the interior of the cone generated by $N_1, \dots, N_\ell$). The associated nilpotent orbit of $F_{\dagger}$ is generated by $(N_{\dagger}, N_{\ell+1}, \dots, N_r, F_\infty, W)$. Let $t_{\dagger}(y)$ be the associated semisimple operator. Then,

$$t_{\dagger}(y) = t_\ell^{\frac{1}{2}} \hat{Y}_{\dagger} t_\ell(y)$$

Let $P_{\dagger}(t) = \text{Ad}(t_{\dagger}^{-1}(y))(iy_\ell N_{\dagger} + i \sum_{j > \ell} y_j N_j)$ and $\xi_{\dagger}(t) = \text{Ad}(t_{\dagger}^{-1}(y))\xi$. Then,

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{F}_{\dagger}(z_\ell, \dots, z_r) &= t_{\dagger}^{-1}(y) e^{iy_\ell N_{\dagger}} e^{i \sum_{j > \ell} y_j N_j} e^{\Gamma_\ell} \cdot F_\infty \\ &= e^{P_{\dagger}(t)} (\text{Ad}(t_{\dagger}^{-1}(y))e^{\Gamma_\ell})e^{\xi_{\dagger}(t)} \cdot \hat{F}_\infty \end{aligned}$$

Let $z_{\dagger}(m) = (z_\ell(m), \dots, z_r(m))$ be the $\mathfrak{sl}_2$ -sequence obtained from the sequence $(z_{\ell+1}(m), \dots, z_r(m))$ by setting $z_\ell(m) = z_{\ell+1}(m)$. Applying Corollary (7.34) to $\tilde{F}_{\dagger}(z_{\dagger}(m))$, we then obtain an associated limit filtration $F_{\sharp} \in \mathcal{M}$.

Regarding the filtration $F_{\sharp}$, we note that since $t_\ell(m) = y_{\ell+1}(m)/y_\ell(m) = 1$ along the sequence $z_{\dagger}(m)$ it follows that $t_{\dagger}(y) = t_\ell(y)$ along $z_{\dagger}(m)$. Therefore, the limits of $\text{Ad}(t_{\dagger}^{-1}(y))e^{\Gamma_\ell}$ and $\xi_{\dagger}(t)$ along $z_{\dagger}(m)$ coincide with the limits of $\text{Ad}(t_\ell^{-1}(y))e^{\Gamma_\ell}$ and $\xi_\ell(t)$ along the original sequence $(z_{\ell+1}(m), \dots, z_r(m))$. Likewise, along $z_{\dagger}(m)$,

$$P_{\dagger}(t) = \text{Ad}(t_\ell^{-1}(y))(iy_\ell N_{\dagger} + i \sum_{j > \ell} y_j N_j) = iN_{\dagger} + P_\ell(t)$$

since $\text{Ad}(t_\ell^{-1}(y))y_\ell N_{\dagger} = N_{\dagger}$ by Lemma (8.4). Therefore,

$$F_{\sharp} = e^{iN_{\dagger}} \cdot F_{\mathfrak{h}} \in \mathcal{M}$$

In particular, since $N_{\dagger} = a(N_1 + \dots + N_\ell)$ with $a > 0$ arbitrary, it follows that $e^{z(N_1 + \dots + N_\ell)} \cdot F_{\mathfrak{h}}$ is a nilpotent orbit of pure Hodge structure. $\square$

9. PROOF OF THEOREM (2.29)

In this section we prove Theorem (2.29) by induction on dimension r of the base Δ^{*r} . For $r = 1$, Theorem (2.29) follows from Corollary (7.19).

Accordingly, assume that $r > 1$ and let $z(m)$ be an sl_2 -sequence. Let ι be the smallest index such that $y(m)$ has non-polynomial growth with respect to ι . If $\iota = 0$ or $\iota = r$, Theorem (2.29) along $z(m)$ follows from Corollary (7.18). Therefore, we can assume that $r > 1$ and $0 < \iota < r$. Therefore, by Theorem (7.10) it follows that

$$\hat{Y}_{(F(z(m)), W)} - \hat{Y}_{(F_\iota(z(m)), W)} \rightarrow 0$$

and hence the proof of Theorem (2.29) is reduced to the case of period maps of the special form $F_\iota(z)$.

. As in section 8, let us define

$$\begin{aligned} F_\infty(z_{\iota+1}, \dots, z_r) &= e^{\sum_{j>\iota} z_j N_j} e^{\Gamma_\iota} . F_\infty \\ \tilde{F}_\infty(z_{\iota+1}, \dots, z_r) &= t_\iota^{-1}(y) e^{\sum_{j>\iota} i y_j N_j} e^{\Gamma_\iota(s)} . F_\infty \end{aligned}$$

Then,

$$\begin{aligned} F_\iota(z_1, \dots, z_r) &= e^{\sum_j z_j N_j} e^{\Gamma_\iota} . F_\infty \\ &= e^{N(x)} e^{\sum_j i y_j N_j} e^{\Gamma_\iota} . F_\infty \\ &= e^{N(x)} e^{\sum_{j \leq \iota} i y_j N_j} e^{\sum_{j>\iota} i y_j N_j} e^{\Gamma_\iota} . F_\infty \\ &= e^{N(x)} e^{\sum_{j \leq \iota} i y_j N_j} t_\iota(y) . \tilde{F}_\infty(z_{\iota+1}, \dots, z_r) \\ &= e^{N(x)} t_\iota(y) e^{i \sum_{k \leq \iota} (y_k / y_{\iota+1}) N_k} . \tilde{F}_\infty(z_{\iota+1}, \dots, z_r) \end{aligned}$$

where the last step is justified by Lemma (8.4).

By equation (8.12)

$$\tilde{F}_\infty(z_{\iota+1}, \dots, z_r) = e^{P_\iota(t)} (\text{Ad}(t_\iota^{-1}(y)) e^{\Gamma_\iota(s)}) e^{\xi_\iota(t)} . \hat{F}_\infty$$

Moreover, by (8.13), along the sequence $(z_{\iota+1}(m), \dots, z_r(m))$,

$$\tilde{F}_\infty(z_{\iota+1}(m), \dots, z_r(m)) \rightarrow F_{\mathfrak{q}} = e^{\gamma_{\mathfrak{q}}} . \hat{F}_\infty$$

Define

$$\mathfrak{v} = \mathfrak{q} \cap \ker(\text{ad } N_1) \cap \dots \cap \ker(\text{ad } N_\iota)$$

Then, tracing through the proof of Lemma (8.9) it follows that the function

$$e^{P_\iota(t)} (\text{Ad}(t_\iota^{-1}(y)) e^{\Gamma_\iota(s)}) e^{\xi_\iota(t)}$$

takes valued in $\mathfrak{v}$, and hence so does its limiting value $\gamma_{\mathfrak{q}}$ along $(z_{\iota+1}(m), \dots, z_r(m))$. Let

$$e^{\gamma(z_{\iota+1}, \dots, z_r)} = e^{P_\iota(t)} (\text{Ad}(t_\iota^{-1}(y)) e^{\Gamma_\iota(s)}) e^{\xi_\iota(t)} e^{-\gamma_{\mathfrak{q}}} \quad (9.1)$$

Then, $\tilde{F}_\infty(z_{\iota+1}, \dots, z_r) = e^{\gamma(z_{\iota+1}, \dots, z_r)} . F_{\mathfrak{q}}$, with

$$\gamma(z_{\iota+1}(m), \dots, z_r(m)) \rightarrow 0 \quad (9.2)$$

By Lemma (8.9), the data $(N_1, \dots, N_\iota, F_{\mathfrak{q}}, W)$ defines an admissible nilpotent orbit, and hence by Lemma (8.1) there exists a neighbourhood $\mathfrak{v}_o$ of zero in $\mathfrak{v}$ such that for every $\nu \in \mathfrak{v}_o$ the data $(N_1, \dots, N_\iota, e^\nu . F_{\mathfrak{q}}, W)$ defines an admissible nilpotent orbit. Shrinking $\mathfrak{v}_o$ as necessary, we can further assume that there exists a common constant c such that if $\text{Im}(z_1), \dots, \text{Im}(z_\iota) > c$ then

$$e^{\sum_{j \leq \iota} z_j N_j} e^\nu . F_{\mathfrak{q}} \in \mathcal{M} \quad (9.3)$$

In particular, by (9.2), there exists index m_o such that

$$\gamma(z_{\iota+1}(m), \dots, z_r(m)) \in \mathfrak{v}_o$$

whenever $m > m_o$.

Corollary 9.4. *Combining the above equations, it follows that along the given $\mathfrak{sl}_2$ -sequence $z(m)$, we can write*

$$F_{\iota}(z) = e^{N(x)} t_{\iota}(y) e^{i \sum_{k \leq \iota} (y_k / y_{\iota+1}) N_k} e^{\gamma(z_{\iota+1}, \dots, z_r)} F_{\mathfrak{h}} \quad (9.5)$$

with $\gamma(z_{\iota+1}(m), \dots, z_r(m))$ taking values $\mathfrak{v}_o$ for $m > m_o$.

Consider now the sequence $\tilde{y}(m) = (\tilde{y}_1(m), \dots, \tilde{y}_{\iota}(m))$ obtained by setting

$$\tilde{y}_j(m) = y_j(m) / y_{\iota+1}(m), \quad (9.6)$$

Then, since $y(m) = (y_1(m), \dots, y_r(m))$ is an $\mathfrak{sl}_2$ -sequence it follows that $\tilde{y}(m)$ is also an $\mathfrak{sl}_2$ -sequence in ι -variables. Therefore (see definition (2.26)) we have

$$\tilde{y}(m) = T(\tilde{v}(m)) + \tilde{b}(m)$$

for some linear map $T : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{\iota}$, some strict $\mathfrak{sl}_2$ -sequence $\tilde{v}(m) \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and a convergent sequence $\tilde{b}(m) \in \mathbb{R}^{\iota}$. Accordingly,

$$N(\tilde{y}(m)) = \sum_{j=1}^d N(\theta^j) \tilde{v}_j(m) + N(\tilde{b}(m))$$

where $N(\theta^1), \dots, N(\theta^d)$ and $N(\tilde{b}(m))$ belong to the real subalgebra $\mathfrak{b}_{\mathbb{R}}$ of $\mathfrak{v}$ generated by $N_1, \dots, N_{\iota}$.

Let $N(\tilde{b}(m)) \rightarrow N(\tilde{b}_o)$ and $\mathfrak{b}_o$ be a neighbourhood of $N(\tilde{b}_o)$ in the complexification $\mathfrak{b}_{\mathbb{C}}$ of $\mathfrak{b}_{\mathbb{R}}$. Then, for any $\alpha \in \mathfrak{b}_o$ and any $\nu \in \mathfrak{v}_o$, the data $(N(\theta^1), \dots, N(\theta^d); e^{\alpha} e^{\nu} F_{\mathfrak{h}}, W)$ generates an admissible nilpotent orbit (with slightly larger c to compensate for α , see (9.3)). We therefore define

$$F(v_1, \dots, v_d; \alpha, \nu) = e^{\sum_{j \leq d} i v_j N(\theta^j)} e^{\alpha} e^{\nu} F_{\mathfrak{h}}$$

As in the proof of Corollary (7.18), it then follows via Lemma (2.23) that for fixed α and ν as above,

$$\hat{Y}_{(F(v_1, \dots, v_d; \alpha, \nu), W)} \rightarrow \hat{Y}(\nu) := Y(N(\theta^1), \dots, Y(N(\theta^d), \hat{Y}_{(e^{\nu} F_{\mathfrak{h}}, W^{\iota})}))$$

along any strict $\mathfrak{sl}_2$ -sequence in the variables $v_1, \dots, v_d$.

By Theorem (0.5) of [KNU08], there exists a constant b such that if $\tau_1 = v_2/v_1, \dots, \tau_d = 1/v_d \in (0, b)$ then

$$\hat{Y}_{(F(v_1, \dots, v_d; \alpha, \nu), W)} = \exp(u(\tau; \alpha, \nu)) \cdot \hat{Y}(\nu) \quad (9.7)$$

where $u(\tau; \alpha, \nu)$ has a convergent series expansion

$$u(\tau; \alpha, \nu) = \sum_m u_m(\alpha, \nu) \Pi_{j=1}^r \tau_j^{m(j)} \quad (9.8)$$

with constant term 0. Furthermore, by Theorem (10.8) of [KNU08], the coefficients $u_m(\alpha, \nu)$ are analytic functions of $\alpha \in \mathfrak{b}_o$ and $\nu \in \mathfrak{v}_o$.

Corollary 9.9. *Let $\nu(m) = \gamma(z_{\iota+1}(m), \dots, z_r(m))$ and $\alpha(m) = N(\tilde{b}(m))$. Then,*

$$\hat{Y}_{(F_{\iota}(z(m)), W)} = e^{N(x(m))} t_{\iota}(y(m)) e^{u(\tau(m); \alpha(m), \nu(m))} \cdot \hat{Y}(\nu(m)) \quad (9.10)$$

where $\tau_j(m) = v_{j+1}(m)/v_j(m)$ for $v_j(m) = \tilde{v}_j(m)$.

Proof. Combine equations (9.5), (9.6), and (9.7). $\square$

The remainder of the proof of Theorem (2.29) now divides into two parts depending on d :

Remark 9.11. *The notation introduced in Corollary (9.9) will remain in effect for the remainder of this section.*

d = 1. In this case, it follows from the definition of non-polynomial growth that

$$\tau_1(m)y_{\ell+1}^e(m) \rightarrow 0$$

for any half-integral power e , and hence the sequence

$$e^{\eta(m)} := \text{Ad}(t_\ell(y(m)))e^{u(\tau_1(m); \alpha(m), \nu(m))} \rightarrow 1 \quad (9.12)$$

since the action of $\text{Ad}(t_\ell(y))$ on $\mathfrak{g}_\mathbb{C}$ is bounded by a polynomial in $y_{\ell+1}^{\frac{1}{2}}$.

On the other hand, by Lemma (8.4) and the properties of Deligne systems it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} t_\ell(y) \cdot \hat{Y}(\nu) &= Y(\text{Ad}(t_\ell(y))N(\theta^1), \hat{Y}_{(t_\ell(y)e^\nu \cdot F_\mathfrak{h}, W^\iota)}) \\ &= Y(y_{\ell+1}N(\theta^1), \hat{Y}_{(t_\ell(y)e^\nu \cdot F_\mathfrak{h}, W^\iota)}) \end{aligned}$$

Now, if (N, Y_M, W) is a Deligne system then so is $(\lambda N, Y_M, W)$ for any non-zero scalar. Furthermore,

$$Y(\lambda N, Y_M) = Y(N, Y_M) \quad (9.13)$$

In particular, by the previous paragraph

$$t_\ell(y) \cdot \hat{Y}(\nu) = Y(N(\theta^1), \hat{Y}_{(t_\ell(y)e^\nu \cdot F_\mathfrak{h}, W^\iota)}) \quad (9.14)$$

Therefore, by equations (9.10), (9.12) and (9.14) it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} e^{-N(x(m))} \cdot \hat{Y}_{(F_\ell(z(m)), W)} &= e^{\eta(m)} \cdot Y(N(\theta^1), \hat{Y}_{(t_\ell(y(m))e^\nu \cdot F_\mathfrak{h}, W^\iota)}) \\ &= e^{\eta(m)} \cdot Y(N(\theta^1), \hat{Y}_{(e^{\sum_{j>\ell} i y_j(m) N_j} e^{\Gamma_i(s(m))} \cdot F_\infty, W^\iota)}) \end{aligned}$$

By induction,

$$\hat{Y}_{(e^{\sum_{j>\ell} i y_j(m) N_j} e^{\Gamma_i(s(m))} \cdot F_\infty, W^\iota)} \rightarrow Y(N(\theta^2), \dots, Y(N(\theta^{d'}), \hat{Y}_{(F_\infty, W^r)}))$$

and hence combining the above, we have

$$e^{-N(x(m))} \cdot \hat{Y}_{(F_\ell(z(m)), W)} \rightarrow Y(N(\theta^1), \dots, Y(N(\theta^{d'}), \hat{Y}_{(F_\infty, W^r)}))$$

However, the proof for $d = 1$ is not yet complete since the elements $\theta^1, \dots, \theta^{d'}$ constructed this way may differ from the elements constructed in (2.28). This discrepancy will be resolved at the end of this section.

d > 1.

Lemma 9.15. *For fixed α and ν as in (9.7) and $\tau_1, \dots, \tau_{d-1} \in (0, b)$*

$$\exp(u(\tau_1, \dots, \tau_{d-1}, 0; \alpha, \nu)) \cdot \hat{Y}(\nu) = Y\left(\sum_{j \leq d} \omega_j N(\theta^j), \hat{Y}_{(e^\nu \cdot F_\mathfrak{h}, W^\iota)}\right) \quad (9.16)$$

for any vector $(\omega_1, \dots, \omega_d) \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}^d$ such that $\tau_j = \omega_{j+1}/\omega_j$ for $j \leq d-1$.

Proof. Let $v_j = y\omega_j$ for $j = 1, \dots, d$. Then, by (9.7),

$$\hat{Y}_{(F(v_1, \dots, v_d; \alpha, \nu), W)} = \exp(u(\tau_1, \dots, \tau_{d-1}, 1/(y\omega_d); \alpha, \nu)) \cdot \hat{Y}(\nu)$$

On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{Y}_{(F(v_1, \dots, v_d; \alpha, \nu), W)} &= \hat{Y}_{(e^{\sum_{j \leq d} i v_j N(\theta^j)} e^\alpha e^\nu, F_b, W)} \\ &= \hat{Y}_{(e^{i y \sum_{j \leq d} \omega_j N(\theta^j)} e^\alpha e^\nu, F_b, W)} \end{aligned}$$

Comparing these two equations, it follows that

$$\exp(u(\tau_1, \dots, \tau_{d-1}, 1/(y\omega_d); \alpha, \nu)) \cdot \hat{Y}(\nu) = \hat{Y}_{(e^{i y \sum_{j \leq d} \omega_j N(\theta^j)} e^\alpha e^\nu, F_b, W)}$$

Taking the limit as $y \rightarrow \infty$, we then obtain equation (9.16) using (2.16). $\square$

Remark 9.17. *A priori, $u(\tau; \alpha, \nu)$ is only defined for $\tau_1, \dots, \tau_d \in (0, b)$. However, via the series expansion (9.8), we can extend u to a real-analytic function on a neighbourhood of $\tau = 0$. By continuity, the formula for $u(\tau_1, \dots, \tau_{d-1}, 0)$ given above agrees with the value of $u(\tau_1, \dots, \tau_{d-1}, 0)$ determined by (9.8).*

For α and ν as in (9.7), let

$$\begin{aligned} u_1(\tau; \alpha, \nu) &= u(\tau_1, \dots, \tau_d; \alpha, \nu) - u(\tau_1, \dots, \tau_{d-1}, 0; \alpha, \nu) \\ u_2(\tau; \alpha, \nu) &= u(\tau_1, \dots, \tau_{d-1}, 0; \alpha, \nu) \end{aligned}$$

Then, $\exp(u(\tau; \alpha, \nu)) = \exp(u_1 + u_2)$ where u_1 is divisible by τ_d in the ring of real-analytic functions of $\tau_1, \dots, \tau_d$. Therefore,

$$\text{Ad}(t_\ell(y)) \exp(u(\tau; \alpha, \nu)) = \text{Ad}(t_\ell(y))(e^{u_1 + u_2} e^{-u_2}) \text{Ad}(t_\ell(y)) e^{u_2} \quad (9.18)$$

where $e^{u_1 + u_2} e^{-u_2} = e^{u_3}$ with u_3 again divisible by τ_d in the ring of real-analytic functions in $\tau_1, \dots, \tau_d$. Consequently, as in (9.12), it follows that if $\eta(m)$ is the sequence defined by the equation

$$e^\eta = \text{Ad}(t_\ell(y))(e^{u_3}) \quad (9.19)$$

along $z(m)$ then $\eta(m) \rightarrow 0$.

Lemma 9.20.

$$e^{u_2(\tau(m); \alpha(m), \nu(m))} \cdot \hat{Y}(\nu(m)) = Y\left(\sum_{j \leq d} v_j(m) N(\theta^j), \hat{Y}_{(e^{\nu(m)}, F_b, W^\iota)}\right)$$

Proof. Use Lemma (9.15) with $\omega_j(m) = v_j(m)$. $\square$

Accordingly, by the previous Lemma and equations (9.10), (9.18), (9.19) it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} e^{-N(x(m))} \cdot \hat{Y}_{(F_\ell(z(m)), W)} &= e^{\eta(m)} t_\ell(y(m)) e^{u_2(\tau(m); \alpha(m), \nu(m))} \cdot \hat{Y}(\nu(m)) \\ &= e^{\eta(m)} t_\ell(y(m)) \cdot Y\left(\sum_{j \leq d} v_j(m) N(\theta^j), \hat{Y}_{(e^{\nu(m)}, F_b, W^\iota)}\right) \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, using Lemma (8.4) and our freedom to rescale $N(\theta^j) \rightarrow \lambda N(\theta^j)$ it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} t_\ell(y(m)) \cdot Y\left(\sum_{j \leq d} v_j(m) N(\theta^j), \hat{Y}_{(e^{\nu(m)}, F_b, W^\iota)}\right) \\ = Y\left(\sum_{j \leq d} v_j(m) N(\theta^j), \hat{Y}_{(e^{\sum_{j > \iota} i y_j N_j e^{\Gamma_\iota(s)}}, F_\infty, W^\iota)}\right) \quad (9.21) \end{aligned}$$

and hence

$$e^{-N(x(m))} \cdot \hat{Y}_{(F_\iota(z(m)), W)} = e^{\eta(m)} \cdot Y\left(\sum_{j \leq d} v_j(m) N(\theta^j), \hat{Y}_{(e^{\sum_{j > \iota} i y_j N_j} e^{\Gamma_\iota(s)} \cdot F_\infty, W^\iota)}\right) \quad (9.22)$$

Corollary 9.23. *If*

$$Y\left(\sum_{j \leq d} v_j(m) N(\theta^j), \hat{Y}_{(e^{\sum_{j > \iota} i y_j N_j} e^{\Gamma_\iota(s)} \cdot F_\infty, W^\iota)}\right) \rightarrow Y_o \quad (9.24)$$

then $e^{-N(x(m))} \cdot \hat{Y}_{(F_\iota(z(m)), W)} \rightarrow Y_o$.

Proof. This follows from (9.22) since $\eta(m) \rightarrow 0$. $\square$

Thus, to complete the proof it remains to compute the limit (9.24). To this end, observe that by induction,

$$\hat{Y}_{(e^{\sum_{j > \iota} i y_j N_j} e^{\Gamma_\iota(s)} \cdot F_\infty, W^\iota)} \rightarrow Y^\dagger = Y(N(\theta^{d+1}), \dots, Y(N(\theta^{d'}), \hat{Y}_{(F_\infty, W^r)})) \quad (9.25)$$

Consequently, there exists a unique $W_{-1}^\iota \text{gl}(V)$ -valued sequence $\beta(m)$ which converges to zero such that

$$\hat{Y}_{(e^{\sum_{j > \iota} i y_j N_j} e^{\Gamma_\iota(s)} \cdot F_\infty, W^\iota)} = e^{\beta(m)} \cdot Y^\dagger$$

along $z(m)$.

To continue, note that since $\hat{Y}_{(e^{\sum_{j > \iota} i y_j N_j} e^{\Gamma_\iota(s)} \cdot F_\infty, W^\iota)}$ arises from the sl_2 -splitting of the limit mixed Hodge structure of the nilpotent orbit

$$(z_1, \dots, z_\iota) \mapsto e^{\sum_j z_j N_j} \cdot (e^{\sum_{j > \iota} i y_j N_j} e^{\Gamma_\iota(s)} \cdot F_\infty)$$

it follows that

- (a) $[N_j, e^\beta \cdot Y^\dagger] = -2N_j$ for $j \leq \iota$;
- (b) $e^\beta \cdot Y^\dagger$ preserves $W^0, \dots, W^\iota$.

On the other hand, by (9.25) and the results of [KNU08] we know that $Y^\dagger = \hat{Y}_{(F_o, W^\iota)}$ arises via the limit mixed Hodge structure of a nilpotent orbit

$$(e^{\sum_{j \leq \iota} z_j N_j} \cdot F_o, W^0)$$

we also have

- (a') $[N_j, Y^\dagger] = -2N_j$ for $j \leq \iota$;
- (b') $Y^\dagger$ preserves $W^0, \dots, W^\iota$.

Indeed, for fixed $(z_1, \dots, z_\iota)$ with sufficiently large imaginary part, the map

$$(z_{\iota+1}, \dots, z_r) \mapsto e^{\sum_j z_j N_j} \cdot F_\infty$$

is a nilpotent orbit. Therefore, since $(z_{\iota+1}(m), \dots, z_r(m))$ is an sl_2 -sequence, we obtain an associated nilpotent orbit with data

$$(N_1, \dots, N_\iota, N(\theta^{d+1}), \dots, N(\theta^{d'}), F_\infty, W^0)$$

The point F_o is then obtained by applying the SL_2 -orbit theorem to the nilpotent orbit generated by

$$(N(\theta^{d+1}), \dots, N(\theta^{d'}), F_\infty, W^\iota)$$

to obtain a split mixed Hodge structure (F_o, W^ι) .

Remark 9.26. *As in the remark to Theorem (2.29), we are implicitly assuming that $y_j(m) \rightarrow \infty$ for all j . The case where some $y_j(m)$ remain bounded is handled by absorbing these factors into F_∞ . The details are left to the reader.*

In particular, comparing (a) and (a') it follows that

$$[e^{\beta(m)}.Y^\dagger - Y^\dagger, N_j] = 0, \quad j \leq \iota \quad (9.27)$$

Since $Y^\dagger$ grades W^ι and $\beta \in W_{-1}^\iota \mathfrak{gl}(V)$, we have

$$\beta(m) = \sum_{j < 0} \beta_j(m)$$

where $\beta_j(m)$ belongs to the j -eigenspace of $\text{ad } Y^\dagger$. Consequently, it follows from (9.27) and the previous equation together with the fact that $\text{ad } N_j$ lowers the eigenspaces of $\text{ad } Y^\dagger$ by 2 that

$$[\beta(m), N_j] = 0, \quad j \leq \iota \quad (9.28)$$

Likewise, it follows from properties (b) and (b') that

$$\beta(m) \text{ preserves } W^j, \quad j \leq \iota \quad (9.29)$$

By the functoriality of Deligne systems, it follows from (9.28) and (9.29) that

$$\begin{aligned} & Y\left(\sum_{j \leq d} v_j(m)N(\theta^j), \hat{Y}_{(e^{\sum_{j > \iota} i y_j N_j e^{\Gamma_\iota(s)}.F_\infty, W^\iota})}\right) \\ &= Y\left(\sum_{j \leq d} v_j(m)N(\theta^j), e^{\beta(m)}.Y^\dagger\right) = e^{\beta(m)}.Y\left(\sum_{j \leq d} v_j(m)N(\theta^j), Y^\dagger\right) \end{aligned} \quad (9.30)$$

Moreover, by the properties of Deligne systems,

$$Y\left(\sum_{j \leq d} v_j(m)N(\theta^j), Y^\dagger\right) = \hat{Y}_{(e^{i \sum_{j \leq d} v_j(m)N(\theta^j)}.F_o, W^0)} \quad (9.31)$$

Letting $m \rightarrow \infty$ and using (2.16) to compute the right hand side shows that

$$Y\left(\sum_{j \leq d} v_j(m)N(\theta^j), Y^\dagger\right) \rightarrow \hat{Y}(N(\theta^1), \dots, Y(N(\theta^d), \hat{Y}_{(F_o, W^\iota)}))$$

Therefore, since $\beta(m) \rightarrow 0$ and

$$\hat{Y}_{(F_o, W^\iota)} = Y^\dagger = Y(N(\theta^{d+1}), \dots, Y(N(\theta^{d'}), \hat{Y}_{(F_\infty, W^r)})).$$

it follows that from equation (9.30) that

$$Y\left(\sum_{j \leq d} v_j(m)N(\theta^j), \hat{Y}_{(e^{\sum_{j > \iota} i y_j N_j e^{\Gamma_\iota(s)}.F_\infty, W^\iota})}\right) \rightarrow Y(N(\theta^1), \dots, Y(N(\theta^{d'}), \hat{Y}_{(F_\infty, W^r)}))$$

Returning to Corollary (9.23) it then follows that

$$e^{-N(x(m))}.\hat{Y}_{(F(z(m)), W)} \rightarrow Y(N(\theta^1), \dots, Y(N(\theta^{d'}), \hat{Y}_{(F_\infty, W^r)})) \quad (9.32)$$

as required.

To complete the proof, we note that the elements $\theta^1, \dots, \theta^{d'}$ constructed above depend on the sequence $z(m)$ and not the period map. Consequently, it follows from (9.32) that

$$e^{-N(x(m))}.\hat{Y}_{(F(z(m)), W)} - e^{-N(x(m))}.\hat{Y}_{(e^{N(z(m))}.F_\infty, W)} = 0 \quad (9.33)$$

for every sl_2 -sequence since both $F(z)$ and $e^{N(z)}.F_\infty$ have the same limit Hodge filtration. On the other hand, by Lemma (7.17), we know that (2.30) holds for nilpotent orbits. Thus, by virtue of equation (9.33), equation (2.30) is also true for period maps.

REFERENCES

- [BP06] Patrick Brosnan and Gregory J. Pearlstein. The zero locus of an admissible normal function. <http://arxiv.org/abs/math/0604345>, 2006. To appear in Annals of Mathematics.
- [BP09] Patrick Brosnan and Gregory Pearlstein. On the algebraicity of the zero locus of an admissible normal function, 2009.
- [BP11] Patrick Brosnan and Gregory J. Pearlstein. Tannakian categories and sl_2 splitting. 2011. In preparation.
- [BPS] Patrick Brosnan, Gregory Pearlstein, and Morihiko Saito. A generalization of the Néron models of Green, Griffiths and Kerr. arXiv:0809.5185.
- [Car87] James A. Carlson. The geometry of the extension class of a mixed Hodge structure. In *Algebraic geometry, Bowdoin, 1985 (Brunswick, Maine, 1985)*, volume 46 of *Proc. Sympos. Pure Math.*, pages 199–222. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1987.
- [CK89] Eduardo Cattani and Aroldo Kaplan. Degenerating variations of Hodge structure. *Astérisque*, (179-180):9, 67–96, 1989. Actes du Colloque de Théorie de Hodge (Luminy, 1987).
- [CKS86] Eduardo Cattani, Aroldo Kaplan, and Wilfried Schmid. Degeneration of Hodge structures. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 123(3):457–535, 1986.
- [Del71] Pierre Deligne. Théorie de Hodge. II. *Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math.*, (40):5–57, 1971.
- [Del93] Pierre Deligne. Letter to E. Cattani and A. Kaplan, 1993.
- [Hir64] Heisuke Hironaka. Resolution of singularities of an algebraic variety over a field of characteristic zero. I, II. *Ann. of Math. (2)* 79 (1964), 109–203; *ibid. (2)*, 79:205–326, 1964.
- [Kas86] Masaki Kashiwara. A study of variation of mixed Hodge structure. *Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci.*, 22(5):991–1024, 1986.
- [KNU] Kazuya Kato, Chikara Nakayama, and Sampei Usui. Private communication.
- [KNU08] Kazuya Kato, Chikara Nakayama, and Sampei Usui. $\mathrm{SL}(2)$ -orbit theorem for degeneration of mixed Hodge structure. *J. Algebraic Geom.*, 17(3):401–479, 2008.
- [KNU10] Kazuya Kato, Chikara Nakayama, and Sampei Usui. Moduli of log mixed Hodge structures. *Proc. Japan Acad. Ser. A Math. Sci.*, 86(7):107–112, 2010.
- [KP03] Aroldo Kaplan and Gregory Pearlstein. Singularities of variations of mixed Hodge structure. *Asian J. Math.*, 7(3):307–336, 2003.
- [Pea00] Gregory J. Pearlstein. Variations of mixed Hodge structure, Higgs fields, and quantum cohomology. *Manuscripta Math.*, 102(3):269–310, 2000.
- [Sai96] Morihiko Saito. Admissible normal functions. *J. Algebraic Geom.*, 5(2):235–276, 1996.
- [Sch01] Christine Schwarz. Relative monodromy weight filtrations. *Math. Z.*, 236(1):11–21, 2001.
- [Sch09] Christian Schnell. Complex-analytic neron models for arbitrary families of intermediate jacobians, 2009.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, THE UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA, 1984 MATHEMATICS ROAD

E-mail address: `brosnan@math.ubc.ca`

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, MICHIGAN STATE UNIVERSITY, EAST LANSING, MI 48824, USA

E-mail address: `gpearl@math.msu.edu`